

SMOOTH PROJECTIVE SYMMETRIC VARIETIES WITH PICARD NUMBER ONE

ALESSANDRO RUZZI

Institut Fourier,
100 rue des Maths,
BP 74 38402, St Martin d'Heres,
FAX: 0476514478
ruzzi@mozart.ujf-grenoble.fr

Abstract

We classify the smooth projective symmetric G -varieties with Picard number one (and G semisimple). Moreover we prove a criterion for the smoothness of the simple (normal) symmetric varieties whose closed orbit is complete. In particular we prove that, given a such variety X which is not exceptional, then X is smooth if and only if an appropriate toric variety contained in X is smooth.

keywords: Symmetric varieties, Fano varieties.

Mathematics Subject Classification 2000: 14M17, 14J45, 14L30

A Gorenstein normal algebraic variety X over $\mathbb{C}$ is called a Fano variety if the anticanonical divisor is ample. The Fano surfaces are classically called Del Pezzo surfaces. The importance of Fano varieties in the theory of higher dimensional varieties is similar to the importance of Del Pezzo surfaces in the theory of surfaces. Moreover Mori's program predicts that every uniruled variety is birational to a fibration whose general fiber is a Fano variety (with terminal singularities).

Often it is useful to subdivide the Fano varieties in two kinds: the Fano varieties with Picard number one and the Fano varieties with Picard number strictly greater than one. For example, there are many results which give an explicit bound to some numerical invariants of a Fano variety (depending on the Picard number and on the dimension of the variety). Often there is an explicit expression for the Fano varieties of Picard number one and another expression for the remaining Fano varieties.

We are mainly interested in the smooth projective spherical varieties with Picard number one. The smooth toric (resp. homogeneous) projective varieties with Picard number one are just projective spaces (resp. G/P with G simple and P maximal parabolic). We classify the smooth projective symmetric G -varieties whose Picard group is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}$ (with the hypothesis G semisimple). One can easily show that they are all Fano, because the canonical bundle cannot be ample.

In [13] there are some partial results regarding the classification of smooth Fano projective symmetric varieties with Picard number greater than one and

low rank. See also [11] for a classification of the smooth projective horospherical varieties with Picard number one.

Let G be a connected reductive algebraic group over $\mathbb{C}$ and let θ be an involution of G . Let H be a closed subgroup of G such that $G^\theta \subset H \subset N_G(G^\theta)$. We will say that a normal equivariant embedding of G/H is a symmetric variety. Any symmetric variety can be covered by open G -subvarieties which are simple, i.e. have one closed G -orbit. In Section One we recall some known facts about symmetric varieties and we fix the notations. In Section Two we give a criterion for the smoothness of the simple symmetric varieties whose closed orbit is complete (see Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2). It is easily showed that every complete symmetric variety is covered by simple open symmetric G -subvarieties whose closed orbits are complete. We generalize the results of Timashev (see [15]) and Renner (see [12]) on the equivariant embeddings of reductive groups. The idea of the proof is the following one. First we reduce to the affine case, though there are some technical problems when the variety is exceptional (see [5] for a definition of exceptional symmetric variety). Given an affine X , we define a toric variety $\overline{T \cdot x_0}$ contained in X and we prove that X is smooth if and only $\overline{T \cdot x_0}$ is smooth. In such case we relate the combinatorial description of $\overline{T \cdot x_0}$ to the colored fan of X (see [3] for a definition of colored fan).

In Section Three we use the previous criterion to classify the smooth complete symmetric G -varieties with Picard number one and G semisimple (see Theorem 3.1). We do not classify directly the projective smooth symmetric varieties with Picard number one because there is a combinatorial classification of the complete symmetric varieties, but it is not so easy to say which ones are projective. We prove that the smooth complete symmetric varieties with Picard number one (and G semisimple) have at most two closed orbits. Because of this fact we easily prove that they are all projective. Observe that all the simple complete symmetric varieties are projective by a theorem of Sumihiro.

1 Notations and Background

In this section we introduce the necessary notations. The reader interested to the embedding theory of spherical varieties can see [3] or [16]. In [17] is explained such theory in the particular case of the symmetric varieties. Let G be a connected reductive algebraic group over $\mathbb{C}$ and let θ be an involution of G . Let H be a closed subgroup of G such that $G^\theta \subset H \subset N_G(G^\theta)$. We say that G/H is a symmetric homogeneous variety. An equivariant embedding of G/H is the data of a G -variety X together with an equivariant open immersion $G/H \hookrightarrow X$. A normal G -variety is called a spherical variety if it contains a dense orbit under the action of an arbitrarily chosen Borel subgroup of G . One can show that an equivariant embedding of G/H is a spherical variety if and only if it is normal (see [5], Proposition 1.3). In this case we say that it is a symmetric variety. We say that a subtorus of G is split if $\theta(t) = t^{-1}$ for all its elements t . We say that a split torus of G of maximal dimension is a maximal split torus and that a maximal torus containing a maximal split torus is maximally split. One can prove that any maximally split torus is θ stable (see [16], Lemma 26.5). We fix arbitrarily a maximal split torus T^1 and a maximally split torus T containing T^1 . Let R_G be the root system of G with respect to T and let R_G^0 be the subroot system of the roots fixed by θ . We define $R_G^1 = R_G \setminus R_G^0$.

We can choose a Borel subgroup B containing T such that, if α is a positive root in R_G^1 , then $\theta(\alpha)$ is negative (see [5], Lemma 1.2). One can prove that BH is dense in G (see [5], Proposition 1.3). Let $D(G/H)$ be the set of B -stable prime divisors of G/H ; its elements are called colors. Since BH/H is an affine open orbit, the colors are the irreducible components of $(G/H) \setminus (BH/H)$. We say that a spherical variety is simple if it contains one closed orbit. Let X be a simple symmetric variety with closed orbit Y . Let $D(X)$ be the subset of $D(G/H)$ consisting of the colors whose closure in X contains Y . We say that $D(X)$ is the set of colors of X .

To each prime divisor D of X , we can associate the normalized discrete valuation v_D of $\mathbb{C}(G/H)$ whose ring is the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{X,D}$. One can prove that D is G -stable if and only if v_D is G -invariant, i.e. $v_D(s \cdot f) = v_D(f)$ for each $s \in G$ and $f \in \mathbb{C}(G/H)$. Let N be the set of all G -invariant valuations of $\mathbb{C}(G/H)$ taking value in $\mathbb{Z}$ and let $N(X)$ be the set of the valuations associated to the G -stable prime divisors of X . Observe that each irreducible component of $X \setminus (G/H)$ has codimension one because G/H is affine. (To define $N(X)$ we do not need that X is simple). Let $S := T/T \cap H \simeq T \cdot x_0$, where $x_0 = H/H$ denotes the base point of G/H . One can show that the group $\mathbb{C}(G/H)^{(B)}/\mathbb{C}^*$ is isomorphic to the character group $\chi(S)$ of S (see [17], §2.3); in particular, it is a free abelian group. We define the rank of G/H as the rank of $\chi(S)$; it is also equal to the dimension of S . We can identify the dual group $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{C}(G/H)^{(B)}/\mathbb{C}^*, \mathbb{Z})$ with the group $\chi_*(S)$ of one-parameter subgroups of S ; so we can identify $\chi_*(S) \otimes \mathbb{R}$ with $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\chi(S), \mathbb{R})$. The restriction map to $\mathbb{C}(G/H)^{(B)}/\mathbb{C}^*$ is injective over N (see [3], §3.1, Corollaire 3), so we can identify N with a subset of $\chi_*(S) \otimes \mathbb{R}$. We say that N is the valuation semigroup of G/H . Indeed, N is the semigroup constituted by the vectors contained in the intersection of the lattice $\chi_*(S)$ with an appropriate rational polyhedral convex cone $\mathcal{C}N$, called the valuation cone. For each color D , we define $\rho(D)$ as the restriction of v_D to $\chi(S)$. In general, the map $\rho : D(G/H) \rightarrow \chi_*(S) \otimes \mathbb{R}$ is not injective.

Let $C(X)$ be the cone in $\chi_*(S) \otimes \mathbb{R}$ generated by $N(X)$ and $\rho(D(X))$. We can recover $N(X)$ from $C(X)$. Indeed $N(X)$ consists of the primitive vectors of the one-dimensional faces of $C(X)$ which intersect N (we say that a vector $v \in \chi_*(S) \setminus \{0\}$ is primitive if an equality $v = av'$, where v' is a vector in $\chi_*(S)$ and a is a positive integer, implies $a = 1$). We denote by $\text{cone}(v_1, \dots, v_r)$ the cone generated by the vectors $v_1, \dots, v_r$. Given a cone C in $\chi_*(S) \otimes \mathbb{R}$ and a subset D of $D(G/H)$, we say that (C, D) is a colored cone if:

- (i) C is generated by $\rho(D)$ and by a finite number of vectors in N ;
- (ii) the relative interior of C intersects $\mathcal{C}N$.

Proposition 1.1 (see [3], §3.3, Théorème) *The map $X \rightarrow (C(X), D(X))$ is a bijection from the set of simple symmetric varieties to the set of colored cones.*

Given a symmetric variety $\tilde{X}$ (not necessarily simple), let $\{Y_i\}_{i \in I}$ be the set of G -orbits. Observe that $\tilde{X}$ contains a finite number of G -orbits, thus $\tilde{X}_i := \{x \in \tilde{X} \mid \overline{G \cdot x} \supset Y_i\}$ is open in $\tilde{X}$ and is a simple symmetric variety whose closed orbit is Y_i . We define $D(\tilde{X})$ as the set $\bigcup_{i \in I} D(\tilde{X}_i)$. The family $\{(C(\tilde{X}_i), D(\tilde{X}_i))\}_{i \in I}$ is called the colored fan of $\tilde{X}$ and determines completely

$\tilde{X}$. Moreover $\tilde{X}$ is complete if and only if $CN \subset \bigcup_{i \in I} C(\tilde{X}_i)$ (see [3], §3.4, Théorème 2).

Proposition 1.2 (see [3], §3.4, Théorème 1) *A family $\{(C_i, D_i)\}_{i \in I}$ of colored cones is the colored fan of a symmetric variety if and only if*

- (i) *given a colored cone (C', D') such that C' is a face of C_{i_0} and D' is the set $\{D \in D_{i_0} \mid \rho(D) \in C_{i_0}\}$ for an appropriate $i_0 \in I$, then (C', D') belongs to $\{(C_i, D_i)\}_{i \in I}$;*
- (ii) *the intersections of CN with the relative interiors of the C_i are pairwise disjoint.*

We recall the description of the sets N and $\rho(D(G/H))$. Before that, we need to associate a root system to G/H . The subgroup $\chi(S)$ of $\chi(T^1)$ has finite index, so we can identify $\chi(T^1) \otimes \mathbb{R}$ with $\chi(S) \otimes \mathbb{R}$. Because T is θ -stable, θ induces an involution of $\chi(T) \otimes \mathbb{R}$ which we call again θ . The inclusion $T^1 \subset T$ induces an isomorphism of $\chi(T^1) \otimes \mathbb{R}$ with the (-1) -eigenspace of $\chi(T) \otimes \mathbb{R}$ under the action of θ (see [16], §26). Denoted by W_G the Weyl group of G (with respect to T), fix arbitrarily a W_G -invariant inner product $(\cdot, \cdot)$ over $\chi(T) \otimes \mathbb{R}$ which coincides with the product given by the Killing form over $\text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}(R_G)$. We denote again $(\cdot, \cdot)$ the restriction of this inner product to $\chi(T^1) \otimes \mathbb{R}$. Thus we can identify $\chi(T^1) \otimes \mathbb{R}$ with its dual $\chi_*(T^1) \otimes \mathbb{R}$. The set $R_{G,\theta} := \{\beta - \theta(\beta) \mid \beta \in R_G\} \setminus \{0\}$ is a root system in $\chi(S) \otimes \mathbb{R}$ (see [17], §2.3 Lemme), which we call the restricted root system of (G, θ) ; we call the non zero $\beta - \theta(\beta)$ the restricted roots. If G is semisimple, then the rank of G/H is equal to the rank of $R_{G,\theta}$, i.e. the dimension of $\text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}(R_{G,\theta})$. Usually we denote by β (respectively by α) a root of R_G (respectively of $R_{G,\theta}$); often we denote by ϖ (respectively by ω) a weight of R_G (respectively of $R_{G,\theta}$). In particular, we denote by $\varpi_1, \dots, \varpi_n$ the fundamental weight of R_G (we have chosen the basis of R_G associated to B). Notice however that the weights of $R_{G,\theta}$ are weights of R_G . The involution $\iota := -\varpi_0 \cdot \theta$ of $\chi(T)$ preserves the set of simple roots; moreover ι coincides with $-\theta$ modulo the lattice generated by R_G^0 (see [16], p.169). Here ϖ_0 is the longest element of the Weyl group of R_G^0 . We denote by $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_s$ the elements of the basis $\{\beta - \theta(\beta) \mid \beta \in R_G \text{ simple}\} \setminus \{0\}$ of $R_{G,\theta}$. Let b_i be equal to $\frac{1}{2}$ if $2\alpha_i$ belongs to $R_{G,\theta}$ and equal to one otherwise; for each i we define $\alpha_i^\vee$ as the coroot $\frac{2b_i}{(\alpha_i, \alpha_i)} \alpha_i$. The set $\{\alpha_1^\vee, \dots, \alpha_s^\vee\}$ is a basis of the dual root system $R_{G,\theta}^\vee$, namely the root system composed by the coroots of the restricted roots. We call the elements of $R_{G,\theta}^\vee$ the restricted coroots. Let $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_s$ be the fundamental weights of $R_{G,\theta}$ with respect to $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_s\}$ and let $\omega_1^\vee, \dots, \omega_s^\vee$ be the fundamental weights of $R_{G,\theta}^\vee$ with respect to $\{\alpha_1^\vee, \dots, \alpha_s^\vee\}$. Let C^+ be the positive closed Weyl chamber of $\chi(S) \otimes \mathbb{R}$, i.e. the cone generated by the fundamental weights of $R_{G,\theta}$ and by the vectors orthogonal to $\text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}(R_{G,\theta})$. We call $-C^+$ the negative Weyl chamber. Given a dominant weight λ of G , we denote by $V(\lambda)$ the irreducible representation of highest weight λ .

If G is semisimple and simply connected, then the lattice generated by the restricted roots is isomorphic to the character group of $T^1/(T^1 \cap N_G(G^\theta))$. Moreover the weight lattice of $R_{G,\theta}$ is $\{\varpi - \theta(\varpi) \mid \varpi \in \chi(T)\}$ and can be identified with the character group of $T^1/T^1 \cap G^\theta$. We want to give another description of this lattice. We say that a dominant weight $\varpi \in \chi(T)$ is a spherical weight if $V(\varpi)$ contains a non-zero vector fixed by G^θ (in this definition

we do not require that G is semisimple). In this case, V^{G^θ} is one-dimensional and $\theta(\varpi) = -\varpi$. Thus we can think ϖ as a vector in $\chi(S) \otimes \mathbb{R}$. One can show that the lattice generated by the spherical weights coincides with the weight lattice of $R_{G,\theta}$. See [4], Theorem 2.3 or [16], Proposition 26.4 for an explicit description of the spherical weights. Such description implies that the set of dominant weights of $R_{G,\theta}$ is the set of spherical weights and that C^+ is the intersection of $\chi(S) \otimes \mathbb{R}$ with the positive closed Weyl chamber of the root system R_G . Sometimes we call $\sum \mathbb{Z}^+ \omega_i^\vee$ the set of spherical coweights.

The set N is equal to $-C^+ \cap \chi_*(S)$; in particular it consists of the lattice vectors of the rational polyhedral convex cone $\mathcal{CN} = -C^+$. The set $\rho(D(G/H))$ is equal to $\{\alpha_1^\vee, \dots, \alpha_s^\vee\}$ (see [17], §2.4, Proposition 1 and Proposition 2). Thus, given a simple symmetric variety X , the set $\rho(D(X))$ consists of the primitive generators of the one-dimensional faces of $C(X)$ not contained in $-C^+$; indeed $(\alpha_i, \alpha_j^\vee) > 0$ if and only if $i = j$. For each i the fibre $\rho^{-1}(\alpha_i^\vee)$ contains at most two colors (see [17], §2.4, Proposition 1). We say that a simple restricted root α_{i_0} is exceptional if there are two distinct simple roots β_{i_1} and β_{i_2} in R_G such that: i) $\beta_{i_1} - \theta(\beta_{i_1}) = \beta_{i_2} - \theta(\beta_{i_2}) = \alpha_{i_0}$; ii) either $\theta(\beta_{i_1}) \neq -\beta_{i_2}$ or $\theta(\beta_{i_1}) = -\beta_{i_2}$ and $(\beta_{i_1}, \beta_{i_2}) \neq 0$. In this case we say that also $\alpha_{i_0}^\vee$, θ and all the equivariant embeddings of G/H are exceptional. If α_{i_0} is exceptional then the simple roots β_{i_1} and β_{i_2} are uniquely defined by the previous properties. If G/H is exceptional, then ρ is not injective. Moreover, if $H = N_G(G^\theta)$, then $\rho^{-1}(\alpha_i^\vee)$ contains two colors if and only if $\alpha_i^\vee$ is exceptional. We say that G/H contains a Hermitian factor if the center of $[G, G]^\theta$ has positive dimension. If G/H does not contain a Hermitian factor then ρ is injective (see [17], §2.4, Proposition 1). If ρ is injective, we denote by $D_{\alpha^\vee}$ the unique color contained in $\rho^{-1}(\alpha^\vee)$.

Remark 1. One can suppose that G is the product of a connected, semisimple, simply connected group and of a central split torus in the following way. Let $\pi : \tilde{G} \rightarrow [G, G]$ be the universal cover of the derived group of G . We consider the group $G' = \tilde{G} \times (T^1 \cap Z(G)^0)$ and the map $\pi' : G' \rightarrow G$ defined by $\pi'(g, t) = \pi(g) \cdot t$. The group G' acts transitively on G/H by $g \cdot x := \pi'(g) \cdot x$ for each $x \in G/H$ and $g \in G'$. Thus G/H is isomorphic to $G'/(\pi')^{-1}(H)$. Moreover there is a unique involution θ' of G' such that $\pi'\theta' = \theta\pi'$ (see [14]). The group $(\pi')^{-1}(H)$ contains and normalizes $(\pi')^{-1}(G^\theta)$, hence it normalizes also $\pi^{-1}([G, G]^\theta)^0 = (\tilde{G})^{\theta'}$. Thus $(\pi')^{-1}(H)$ contains and normalizes $(G')^{\theta'}$, so $G'/(\pi')^{-1}(H)$ is a G' -symmetric variety. We can also suppose that the stabilizer of the base point x_0 in $Z(G)^0$ is constituted by the elements of order two of $Z(G)^0$. Indeed, it is sufficient to consider $\tilde{G} \times T''$, where T'' is a torus such that $T''/\{t \in T'' \mid t^2 = id\}$ is isomorphic to $(T^1 \cap Z(G)^0) / (T^1 \cap Z(G)^0 \cap H)$.

In the following, unless explicitly stated, we assume that G is as in Remark 1.

We say that θ is decomposable if G/G^θ is the product of (non trivial) symmetric homogeneous varieties, up to quotient by a finite group. Otherwise we say that θ is indecomposable. If θ is indecomposable and G/H contains a Hermitian factor, we say that G/H is Hermitian. If θ is indecomposable, we have the following possibilities: i) G is an one-dimensional torus; ii) G is simple and iii) $G = \tilde{G} \times \tilde{G}$ with $\tilde{G}$ simple and θ defined by $\theta(x, y) = (y, x)$. In the last case G/G^θ is isomorphic to $\tilde{G}$ and is not Hermitian. If G is semisimple, then θ is indecomposable if and only if $R_{G,\theta}$ is irreducible. For a classification of the indecomposable involutions see [16], §26. If G/H is Hermitian, then $Z(G^\theta)$ has

dimension one and $R_{G,\theta}$ has type BC_1 , C_l , B_2 or A_1 . Suppose G semisimple (simply connected) and θ indecomposable, then $\rho^{-1}(\alpha_i^\vee)$ contains two colors if and only if G/H is Hermitian, $H = G^\theta$ and $\alpha_i^\vee$ is short (see [16], pages 177-178).

Let X be a simple symmetric variety with closed orbit Y . One can show that there is a unique affine B -stable open set X_B that intersects Y and is minimal for this property. Moreover the complement $X \setminus X_B$ is the union of the B -stable prime divisors not containing Y (see [3], §2.2, Proposition). The stabilizer P of X_B is a parabolic subgroup containing B . There is a Levi subgroup L' of P such that the P -variety X_B is the product $R_u P \times Z$ of the unipotent radical of P and of an affine L' -spherical variety Z (see [3], §2.3, Théorème). We can choose L' and Z so that x_0 belongs to Z . Let L be the standard Levi subgroup of P . If X is not exceptional, we will see that Z is a symmetric variety and that L' can be chosen equal to L . Notice that P is the stabilizer of $\bigcup_{D \in D(G/H) \setminus D(X)} D$. Given an union $D_{i_1} \cup \dots \cup D_{i_s}$ of colors, we denote its stabilizer by $P(\{D_{i_1}, \dots, D_{i_s}\})$ or by $P_G(\{D_{i_1}, \dots, D_{i_s}\})$. Given a root β , let U_β be the unipotent one-dimensional subgroup of G corresponding to β . Given $\mu \in \chi_*(T) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \equiv \chi(T) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$, we denote by $P(\mu)$ the parabolic subgroup of G generated by T and by the subgroups U_β corresponding to the roots β such that $(\beta, \mu) \geq 0$. Given a subgroup K of G , we use the notation H_K (respectively B_K) for the intersection $K \cap H$ (respectively $K \cap B$).

Now, we want to describe the groups $P = P(I)$, where $I \subset D(G/H)$. Observe that there is a Levi subgroup L' of P such that $P/H_P = R_u P \times L'/H_{L'}$. Indeed, there is a Levi subgroup L'' of $P \times \mathbb{C}^*$ such that $P \times \mathbb{C}^*/H_P \times \{\pm 1\} = R_u P \times (L'' \cap P)/H_{L'' \cap P} \times \mathbb{C}^*/\{\pm 1\}$, because of [3], §2.3, Théorème applied to an equivariant embedding Z of $G \times \mathbb{C}^*/H \times \{\pm 1\}$ such that $D(Z) \equiv D(G/H) \setminus I$ (we have a one-to-one correspondence between $D(G/H)$ and $D(G \times \mathbb{C}^*/H \times \{\pm 1\})$, which associates to each $D \in D(G/H)$ its $\mathbb{C}^*$ -span in $G \times \mathbb{C}^*/H \times \{\pm 1\}$).

To describe $P(I)$, it is sufficient to consider the case where G is semisimple, $H = G^\theta$, θ is indecomposable and $I = \{D\}$. Indeed $P(D_{i_1}, \dots, D_{i_s})$ is equal to the intersections of the $P(D_i)$. Moreover if $(G, \theta) = (G_1, \theta) \times (G_2, \theta)$ and D is a color of G/H , then there is either a B_{G_1} -stable divisor D_1 of G_1/G_1^θ or a B_{G_2} -stable divisor D_2 of G_2/G_2^θ such that D is the image of $D_1 \times G_2/G_2^\theta$, respectively of $G_1/G_1^\theta \times D_2$, in G/H ; moreover $P(D)$ is $P_{G_1}(D_1) \times G_2$, respectively $G_1 \times P_{G_2}(D_2)$. Notice that D_1 , respectively D_2 , may be non prime.

We begin with some recalls from [17], §2.3-§3.4 (see also [16], pages 177-178). Suppose by simplicity that G is semisimple, write $D(G/G^\theta) = \{D_1, \dots, D_m\}$ and let $\pi' : G \rightarrow G/G^\theta$ be the canonical projection. For any i , the ideal of $(\pi')^{-1}(D_i)$ is principal because we have supposed G simply connected; let f_i be a generator of $(\pi')^{-1}(D_i)$. Let $\mathcal{P}_+ \subset \mathbb{C}[G]^*$ be the multiplicative monoid constituted by the commune eigenvectors to B (with respect to the left translation) and to G^θ (with respect to the right translation), normalized so that they assume the value one on the unit element of G . Let $\mathcal{P}_+^{G^\theta}$ be the subset of $\mathcal{P}_+$ constituted by the G^θ -invariant vectors. Up to normalization, the vectors $\{f_i\}$ forms a basis of $\mathcal{P}_+$. We can index the colors so that f_i belongs to $\mathcal{P}_+^{G^\theta}$ if and only if $i \leq r$; in particular the G^θ -eigenvalue χ_i of f_i is trivial if and only if $i \leq r$. Here $r = m - 2 \text{rank } \chi(G^\theta)$. We can also suppose that $\chi_{r+j} = -\chi_{r+j+\text{rank } \chi(G^\theta)}$ for each $j = 1, \dots, \text{rank } \chi(G^\theta)$. The monoid $\mathcal{P}_+^{G^\theta}$ is free with basis $\{f_1, \dots, f_r, f_{r+1} f_{r+\text{rank } \chi(G^\theta)+1}, \dots, f_{r+\text{rank } \chi(G^\theta)} f_m\}$. The map, which associates to any $f \in \mathcal{P}_+$ its B -weight $\omega(f)$, gives an isomorphism be-

tween $\mathcal{P}_+^{G^\theta}$ and the set of spherical weights. (We remark that in [17] is used a lightly different map). Observe that $P(D_i)$ is equal to the stabilizer of the line $\mathbb{C}f_i$.

Suppose now θ indecomposable, G semisimple and $I = \{D\}$. Let $L(\{D\})$ be the standard Levi subgroup of $P(\{D\})$. If G/G^θ is not Hermitian, then $\mathcal{P}_+^{G^\theta} = \mathcal{P}_+$ and $\omega(f_1), \dots, \omega(f_m)$ are the fundamental weights of $R_{G,\theta}$; thus the stabilizer of $D_{\alpha_i^\vee}$ is $P(\omega_i)$. In this case, the colors of G/H are in one-to-one correspondence with the colors of G/G^θ . More generally, if $D_i \in D(G/G^\theta)$ is the unique color in $\rho^{-1}(\rho(D_i))$, then $\omega(f_i)$ belongs to $\mathcal{P}_+^{G^\theta}$ and $P(\{D_i\})$ is $P(\omega(f_i))$; on the other hand, $\pi^{-1}(\pi(D_i))$ is D_i , so also $P(\{\pi(D_i)\})$ is $P(\omega(f_i))$ (here π is the canonical projection $G/G^\theta \rightarrow G/H$). Moreover, $R_{L(\{D_i\})}$ is spanned by the simple roots $\{\beta\}$ such that either $\beta = \theta(\beta)$ or $(\beta - \theta(\beta))^\vee \neq \rho(D_i)$.

Next, we consider the colors D of G/G^θ such that $\rho^{-1}(\rho(D)) \neq \{D\}$. Supposing θ indecomposable, there is an exceptional root α_{i_0} if and only if G/G^θ is Hermitian and $R_{G,\theta}$ has type BC_l . In this case, $H = G^\theta = N_G(G^\theta)$ and $2\alpha_{i_0}$ is a restricted root; in particular $\alpha_{i_0}^\vee$ is the short simple restricted co-root and $\rho^{-1}(\alpha_{i_0}^\vee)$ contains two colors, namely D_{r+1} and D_{r+2} . Write $\alpha_{i_0} = \beta_{i_1} - \theta(\beta_{i_1}) = \beta_{i_2} - \theta(\beta_{i_2})$ as in the definition of exceptional root. The weight of $f_{r+1}f_{r+2}$ is the i_0 -th fundamental spherical weight, namely $\omega_{i_0} = \varpi_{i_1} + \varpi_{i_2}$. Thus f_{r+1} and f_{r+2} have weights respectively ϖ_{i_1} and ϖ_{i_2} ; the stabilizers of the corresponding colors are respectively $P(\varpi_{i_1})$ and $P(\varpi_{i_2})$. We have $\rho(D) = (\beta_{i_1} - \theta(\beta_{i_1}))^\vee = (\beta_{i_2} - \theta(\beta_{i_2}))^\vee$; moreover the root system of the standard Levi subgroup of $P(\varpi_{i_1})$ (respectively $P(\varpi_{i_2})$) is spanned by the simple roots different from β_{i_1} (respectively from β_{i_2}). In particular, $R_{L(\{D\})}$ contains properly the root system spanned by the simple roots $\{\beta\}$ such that either $\beta = \theta(\beta)$ or $(\beta - \theta(\beta))^\vee \neq \rho(D)$. Moreover, there is an automorphism of G , fixing T and B , which exchanges $P(\varpi_{i_1})$ with $P(\varpi_{i_2})$; one can show by the Iwasawa decomposition (see [16], p.168), that this automorphism fixes G^θ , so it induces an automorphism of G/G^θ exchanging D_{r+1} with D_{r+2} . For the exceptional case, see also [6], §4.

If G/H is Hermitian and non exceptional, then the restricted root lattice has index two in the lattice generated by the spherical weights; the inverse image of $\alpha_i^\vee$ contains two colors if and only if $H = G^\theta$ and $\alpha_i^\vee$ is short (see [16], page 177-178). Therefore the weight of $f_{r+1}f_{r+2}$ is $\omega_1 = 2\varpi_1$ if $R_{G,\theta} = A_1$ or B_2 and $\omega_l = 2\varpi_l$ otherwise. The vectors f_{r+1} and f_{r+2} have the same weight, namely ϖ_1 if $R_{G,\theta} = A_1$ or B_2 and ϖ_l otherwise. Thus the colors corresponding to f_{r+1} and f_{r+2} have the same stabilizer: respectively $P(\varpi_1)$ if $R_{G,\theta} = A_1$ or B_2 and $P(\varpi_l)$ otherwise. Moreover these colors have the same image in $G/N_G(G^\theta)$. Hence the stabilizer of a color D of G/G^θ is equal to the stabilizer of the image of D in $G/N_G(G^\theta)$. There is a unique simple root β such that $(\beta - \theta(\beta))^\vee = \rho(D_{r+1}) = \rho(D_{r+2})$: it is β_1 if $R_{G,\theta} = A_1$ or B_2 and β_l otherwise. The root system $R_{L(\{D_{r+1}\})} \equiv R_{L(\{D_{r+2}\})}$ is generated by the simple roots different from β .

Now we list the exceptional indecomposable involutions. We have three possibilities: i) (G, θ) has type $AIII$ and G/G^θ is isomorphic to $SL_{n+1}/S(L_l \times L_{n+1-l})$ (with $n \neq 2l - 1$); ii) (G, θ) has type $DIII$ and G/G^θ is isomorphic to SO_{4l+2}/GL_{2l+1} ; iii) (G, θ) has type $EIII$ and G/G^θ is isomorphic to $E_6/D_5 \times \mathbb{C}^*$. The possibilities for the stabilizer of a color D with $\rho(D)$ exceptional are, respectively: i) $P(\varpi_l)$ and $P(\varpi_{n+1-l})$; ii) $P(\varpi_{2l})$ and $P(\varpi_{2l+1})$; iii) $P(\varpi_1)$ and

$P(\varpi_6)$.

2 Smoothness of Symmetric Varieties

In this section we want to classify the smooth symmetric varieties. Clearly we can reduce ourselves to studying the simple ones. Let X be a simple symmetric variety with closed orbit Y . Recall that $X_B \cong R_u P \times Z$, where $P = P(D(G/H) \setminus D(X))$ and Z is a L' -spherical affine variety for an appropriate Levi subgroup L' of P ; we denote by L the standard Levi subgroup of P .

Lemma 2.1 *If $\rho^{-1}(\alpha^\vee)$ is contained in $D(X)$ for each exceptional coroot $\alpha^\vee$ in $\rho(D(X))$, then the group L is θ -stable and L/H_L is a symmetric space; moreover we can choose L' equal to L . In particular, L is θ -stable if X is not exceptional.*

Proof of Lemma 2.1. By the description of P in the previous section, we know that $P = P(\omega^\vee)$ with $\omega^\vee$ a spherical coweight such that $\iota(\omega^\vee) = \omega^\vee$. Thus R_L is stabilized by the involution ι , because $\beta_1 - \theta(\beta_1) = \beta_2 - \theta(\beta_2)$ if and only if $\beta_1 = \beta_2$ or $\beta_1 = \iota(\beta_2)$ for any two simple roots β_1 and β_2 in R_G^1 . In addition R_L contains R_G^0 , thus it is stabilized by $\theta = -\varpi_0 \iota$, where ϖ_0 is the longest element of the Weyl group of R_G^0 . Therefore $P \cap \theta(P) = L$, $H_L = H_P$ and we can suppose $L = L'$. Notice that $L^\theta \subset L \cap H \subset N_L(G^\theta) \subset N_L(L^\theta)$. $\square$

Under the hypotheses of the previous lemma, R_L is generated by R_G^0 and by the simple roots β in R_G^1 such that $\rho^{-1}((\beta - \theta(\beta))^\vee)$ is contained in $D(X)$ (see the description of P in the previous section). In particular, $R_{L,\theta}^\vee = \rho(D(X))$ if G/H has not Hermitian factors.

Lemma 2.2 *Let $I \subset D(G/H)$ and let L' be a Levi subgroup of $P(I)$ such that $P(I)/H_{P(I)} = R_u P \times L'/H_{L'}$. Then the homogeneous variety $L'/H_{L'}$ is affine; in particular $H_{L'}$ is reductive.*

Proof of Lemma 2.2. Let X' be an equivariant embedding of $G \times \mathbb{C}^*/H \times \{\pm 1\}$ such that $D(X) \equiv D(G/H) \setminus I$ (we have a one-to-one correspondence between $D(G/H)$ and $D(G/H \times \mathbb{C}^*/\{\pm 1\})$, which associates to each $D \in D(G/H)$ its $\mathbb{C}^*$ -span in $G/H \times \mathbb{C}^*/\{\pm 1\}$). Let $G' = G \times \mathbb{C}^*$, $H' = H \times \{\pm 1\}$ and $B' = B \times \{\pm 1\}$. We can suppose $X'_{B'} \cong R_u P \times Z'$ where Z' is a $(L' \times \mathbb{C}^*)$ -spherical variety. First, suppose that (G, θ) is a product of indecomposable exceptional involutions. Then $R_u P \times L'/H_{L'} \times \mathbb{C}^*/\{\pm 1\}$ is the intersection of the two affine open sets $X'_{B'}$ and G'/H' , thus it is affine (observe that the $(L' \times \mathbb{C}^*)$ -stable colors do not intersect $X'_{B'}$). Hence $L'/H_{L'}$ is affine and $H_{L'}$ is reductive. When θ is non-exceptional, this lemma is immediately implied by the Lemma 2.1. The general case follows because we can write $(G, \theta) = (G_1, \theta) \times (G_2, \theta)$ where: i) (G_1, θ) is a product of indecomposable exceptional involutions; ii) (G_2, θ) is non-exceptional; iii) $H = G_1^\theta \times H_{G_2}$; iv) $L' = (L' \cap G_1) \times (L' \cap G_2)$; v) $H_{L'} = H_{L' \cap G_1} \times H_{L' \cap G_2}$. Indeed, these facts imply that $H_{L'}$ is reductive, so $L'/H_{L'}$ is affine. $\square$

We are mostly interested in the smooth complete symmetric varieties, so we can reduce ourselves to studying the simple affine varieties with a L' -fixed point.

Lemma 2.3 *If Y is projective, then there is a unique point in Z fixed by L' .*

Proof of Lemma 2.3. Notice that $Z \cap Y$ is one point x and is L' -stable. Moreover x is unique because every affine spherical variety is simple. $\square$

The hypothesis of the previous lemma is equivalent to the condition $\dim C(X) = \text{rank } G/H$. Now, we prove that X is not smooth if L is not θ -stable.

Theorem 2.1 *Let X be a simple smooth symmetric variety with open orbit G/H and suppose that the closed orbit Y is projective. Then $\rho(D(X))$ does not contain exceptional coroots. In particular, L is θ -stable.*

Proposition 2.1 *Under the hypotheses of the previous theorem, $\rho^{-1}(\alpha^\vee)$ is contained in $D(X)$ for each exceptional coroot $\alpha^\vee$ in $\rho(D(X))$. In particular, L is θ -stable.*

Proof of Theorem 2.1. The theorem is implied by the previous proposition because, by the Theorem 2.2, ρ is injective over $D(X)$ for any smooth simple symmetric X (which has the property stated in the Proposition 2.1). We remark that we do not use the Theorem 2.1 in the proof of the Theorem 2.2. $\square$

Now, we begin the proof of the Proposition 2.1. Observe that X is smooth if and only if Z is smooth. Moreover Z is smooth if and only if it is a L' -representation. Indeed the affine L' -variety Z can be embedded in a L' -representation and one can shift the fixed point in 0. Moreover, up to taking a subrepresentation, we can identify Z with this representation because of Luna's fundamental lemma (see [10], II.2). To prove the theorem, it is sufficient to show that there is not a representation of dimension $\dim L'/H_{L'}$ containing a vector v with stabilizer $H_{L'}$. Observe that we can work with a quotient of L' by a finite central subgroup K contained in $H_{L'}$. Indeed, if Z is a L' -representation with the previous properties, K acts trivially on Z , so Z is a (L'/K) -representation of dimension $(L'/K)/(H_{L'}/K)$ and $\text{Stab}_{(L'/K)}(v) = (H_{L'}/K)$. Remark that L'/K is no longer a subgroup of G , however we can restrict to study Z , "forgetting" the embedding of Z in X . We want reduce to study varieties $L'/H_{L'}$ with the following property:

[*] *There are an indecomposable exceptional involution (G', θ') and a color D such that $\rho(D)$ is exceptional, L' is a Levi subgroup of the stabilizer $P' = P_{G'}(D)$, $H_{L'} = (L')^{\theta'}$ and $P'/(P')^{\theta'} \cong R_u P' \times L'/H_{L'}$.*

Lemma 2.4 *Up to quotient by a finite central group in $H_{L'}$, we can choose L' isomorphic to a product $\prod_{i=0}^s L_i$ so that $L'/H_{L'} = \prod L_i/H_{L_i}$, where L_i/H_{L_i} is a symmetric variety if $s = 0$ and satisfies [*] otherwise.*

Proof of Lemma 2.4. First, we reduce to the case of an indecomposable involution. Write (G, θ) as $\prod (G_i, \theta)$, where $G_0 \cap L$ is θ -stable and the (G_i, θ) with $i > 0$ are indecomposable exceptional involutions such that $G_i \cap L$ is not θ -stable. Then $G/H = G_0/H_{G_0} \times \prod_{i>0} G_i/G_i^\theta$. Indeed $G_i^\theta = N_{G_i}(G_i^\theta)$ for each $i > 0$, thus H is generated by G^θ and H_{G_0} . Thus it is sufficient to prove the lemma for the G_i/G_i^θ with $i > 0$. Finally, suppose θ an indecomposable exceptional involution and write $P = P(I)$ with $I \subset D(G/H)$. Let D be a color in I such that $\rho(D)$ is exceptional. If I contains at least two colors, let $\tilde{L}$ be the standard Levi subgroup of $P(I \setminus \{D\})$, let $\bar{L}$ be the quotient of $\tilde{L}$ by $Z([\tilde{L}, \tilde{L}])$ and let $\overline{P}$ be the quotient of $P(I) \cap \tilde{L}$ by $Z([\tilde{L}, \tilde{L}])$. We have $P(I) = R_u P(I \setminus$

$\{D\}) \times (P(I) \cap \tilde{L})$ and $P(I)/P(I)^\theta = R_u P(I \setminus \{D\}) \times (P(I) \cap \tilde{L})/(P(I) \cap \tilde{L})^\theta = R_u P(I \setminus \{D\}) \times \overline{P}/\overline{P}^\theta$; moreover $\tilde{L}/\tilde{L}^\theta = \overline{L}/\overline{L}^\theta = [\overline{L}, \overline{L}]/[\overline{L}, \overline{L}]^\theta \times Z(\overline{L})/Z(\overline{L})^\theta$. On the other hand, there is a Levi subgroup $\overline{L}'$ of $\overline{P} \cap [\overline{L}, \overline{L}]$ such that $\overline{P}/\overline{P}^\theta = R_u \overline{P} \times \overline{L}'/(\overline{L}')^\theta \times Z(\overline{L}')/Z(\overline{L}')^\theta$. We can write $([\overline{L}, \overline{L}], \theta) = (L_1, \theta) \times (L_2, \theta)$, with (L_1, θ) non-exceptional and (L_2, θ) indecomposable exceptional ($R_{[\overline{L}, \overline{L}], \theta}$ is contained in $R_{G, \theta}$ and $R_{G, \theta}$ contains one exceptional root). We have $\overline{L}'/(\overline{L}')^\theta = (\overline{L}' \cap L_1)/(\overline{L}' \cap L_1)^\theta \times (\overline{L}' \cap L_2)/(\overline{L}' \cap L_2)^\theta$, where $(\overline{L}' \cap L_1)/(\overline{L}' \cap L_1)^\theta$ is a symmetric variety. On the other hand $(\overline{P} \cap L_2)/(\overline{P} \cap L_2)^\theta = R_u(\overline{P} \cap L_2) \times (\overline{L}' \cap L_2)/(\overline{L}' \cap L_2)^\theta$ and $\overline{P} \cap L_2$ is the stabilizer of $D \cap (L_2/L_2^\theta)$ in L_2 . Thus we can choose L' as the product of $Z(\tilde{L})^0$ with the inverse image of $\overline{L}'$ in $\tilde{L}$. $\square$

We know that given a semisimple group G , a symmetric variety G/H and an irreducible G -representation V , then V^H has dimension at most one; moreover, if $\dim V^H = 1$, V has dimension at least $\dim G/H + 1$. We want to prove a similar result for the varieties satisfying $[*]$. Such varieties have dimension $\dim G/(G)^\theta - \dim R_u P$, because $P/H_P = R_u P \times L'/H_{L'}$. By simplicity, we assume $(G, \theta) = (G', \theta')$ in the Lemmas 2.5-2.7 ((G', θ') is as in the definition of the property $[*]$). Explicitly $\dim L'/(L')^\theta$ is equal, respectively, to $nl - l^2 + l$ if $G/G^\theta = SL_{n+1}/S(L_l \times L_{n+1-l})$, to $2l^2 + l$ if $G/G^\theta = SO_{4l+2}/GL_{2l+1}$ and to 16 if $G/G^\theta = E_6/D_5 \times \mathbb{C}^*$. In particular $L'/(L')^\theta$ has dimension at least two. We consider separately the case where $\dim L'/(L')^\theta = 2$, because in such case $L'/(L')^\theta$ is isomorphic to a symmetric variety.

Lemma 2.5 *If $L'/H_{L'}$ has dimension two, then it is isomorphic to SL_2/SO_2 . Thus, a non-trivial L' -representation V with $V^{H_{L'}} \neq 0$ has dimension at least three. Moreover, there are neither a smooth affine embedding of SL_2/SO_2 nor a smooth affine embedding of $SL_2 \times \mathbb{C}^*/SO_2 \times \{\pm 1\}$.*

Proof of Lemma 2.5. If $\dim L'/H_{L'} = 2$, then G/H is isomorphic to $SL_3/S(L_1 \times L_2)$ and P is either $P(\varpi_1)$ or $P(\varpi_2)$; thus L' is isomorphic to GL_2 . By the Lemma 2.2, $H_{L'}^0$ must be a maximal torus, hence $L'/H_{L'}$ is a symmetric variety isomorphic either to SL_2/SO_2 or to $SL_2/N_{SL_2}(SO_2)$. Observe that a spherical SL_2 -representation has dimension at least three. In this case the representation is $S^2\mathbb{C}^2$, which is an embedding of $SL_2 \times \mathbb{C}^*/N_{SL_2}(SO_2) \times \{\pm 1\}$, where $\mathbb{C}^*$ acts freely over $\mathbb{C}^2$. We have only to prove that $L'/H_{L'}$ is isomorphic to SL_2/SO_2 . Suppose by contradiction that $H_{L'}$ is isomorphic to the almost direct product $N_{SL_2}(SO_2) \cdot \mathbb{C}^*id$ and let σ be an involution of GL_2 such that GL_2^σ is $H_{L'}^0$. Let T' be a maximally split torus of GL_2 with respect to σ and let $S' = T'/H_{T'}$, then $\chi(T')/\chi(S')$ contains an element of order two. Indeed $\chi(S')$ is generated by 2β and $\chi(T')$ contains β (here β is a root of GL_2). We can identify $\mathbb{C}(L'/H_{L'})^{(B_{L'})}/\mathbb{C}^*$ with $\mathbb{C}(G/H)^{(B)}/\mathbb{C}^*$, thus we can identify $\chi(S)$ with $\chi(S')$ as subset of $\chi(B)$; moreover we can identify both $\chi(T)$ and $\chi(T')$ with $\chi(B)$. Thus also $\chi(T)/\chi(S)$ contains an element of order two. But $\chi(S)$ is spanned by $\varpi_1 + \varpi_2$, so $\chi(T)/\chi(S) = \mathbb{Z}(\varpi_1 + \chi(S)) \cong \mathbb{Z}$, a contradiction. (Here ϖ_1 and ϖ_2 are the fundamental weights of SL_3). $\square$

Lemma 2.6 *Suppose that $L'/H_{L'}$ satisfies $[*]$. Then there is a subgroup K of L' isomorphic to $L \cap \theta(L)$ in such way that $L^\theta \subset L \cap \theta(L)$ is isomorphic to a subgroup K' of K^θ . Let V be a L' -representation which contains a vector v fixed by K^θ . Then the stabilizer of v in $[K, K]$ is equal either to $[K, K]$ or to $[K, K]' := [K, K] \cap K'$.*

Remark that K/K' is a symmetric variety. We need this lemma only when $\dim L'/(L')^\theta > 2$.

Proof of Lemma 2.6. Write $L' = gLg^{-1}$; we have $L^\theta \subset P^\theta = (L')^\theta$, because $H = G^\theta$. When $G = E_6$, one can show, by a dimensional count, that $H_{L'}^0$ is isomorphic to $L \cap \theta(L) = \text{Spin}_8 \times \text{SO}_2 \times \mathbb{C}^*$ (one have to use the reductivity of $H_{L'}$ and the fact that $L^\theta \subset H_{L'} \subset L'$). In the other cases we claim that there is an automorphism φ of L' which sends $gL^\theta g^{-1}$ onto L^θ . Let K be the image of $g(L \cap \theta(L))g^{-1}$ by φ , then K^θ contains $K' := L^\theta = \varphi(gL^\theta g^{-1})$. Now, we prove the claim. If $G/H = \text{GL}_{n+1}/\text{GL}_l \times \text{GL}_{n+1-l}$ (and $P = P(\varpi_l)$), let $\{e_1, \dots, e_{n+1}\}$ be the canonical basis of $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$. Let $V_1 = \text{span}\{e_1, \dots, e_l\}$, $W = \text{span}\{e_{l+1}, \dots, e_{n+1-l}\}$ and $V_2 = \text{span}\{e_{n+2-l}, \dots, e_{n+1}\}$. Let φ be the isomorphism from V_1 to V_2 such that $\varphi(e_i) = e_{n+2-i}$. We have $L = \text{GL}(V_1) \times \text{GL}(W \oplus V_2)$, $L' = \text{GL}(V_1) \times \text{GL}(gW \oplus gV_2)$ and $L^\theta = \Delta(V_1, V_2) \times \text{GL}(W)$, where $\Delta(V_1, V_2)$ is the diagonal in $\text{GL}(V_1) \times \text{GL}(V_2)$ (we have identified V_1 with V_2 by φ). Similarly, $gL^\theta g^{-1} = \Delta(V_1, gV_2) \times \text{GL}(gW)$, where $\Delta(V_1, gV_2)$ is the diagonal in $\text{GL}(V_1) \times \text{GL}(gV_2)$ (we have identified V_1 with gV_2 by $g \circ \varphi$). The previous facts follows because $R_u P$ acts trivially over V_1 and over $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}/V_1$. On the other hand, L^θ stabilizes $g(V_2 \oplus W)$ because it is contained in L' . Let $\tilde{g}$ be $g - \text{Id}$, then $\tilde{g}\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ is contained in V_1 and $gv = v + \tilde{g}v$ for each v . Notice that L^θ preserves the decomposition $V_1 \oplus gW \oplus gV_2$. Indeed, given any $h \in L^\theta$ and any $w \in W$, $hgw = hw + h\tilde{g}w \in (W \oplus V_1) \cap g(W \oplus V_2) = gW$; we can proceed similarly for gV_2 . Moreover, given any $h \in L^\theta$ and $v \in V_1$, we have $hg\varphi(v) - g\varphi(hv) = h\tilde{g}\varphi(v) - \tilde{g}\varphi(hv) \in V_1 \cap gV_2 = 0$, thus L^θ is contained in $gL^\theta g^{-1}$. Therefore $L^\theta = gL^\theta g^{-1}$. Finally, if $G/H = \text{SO}_{4l+2}/\text{GL}_{2l+1}$, then L' is isomorphic to GL_{2l+1} and $gL^\theta g^{-1}$ is isomorphic to $\text{Sp}_{2l} \times \mathbb{C}^* \subset \text{GL}_{2l} \times \mathbb{C}^*$. It sufficient to prove that L^θ is conjugated to $gL^\theta g^{-1}$ in $L' \equiv \text{GL}_{2l+1}$. First, we conjugate by an element k_1 of $L' \equiv \text{GL}_{2l+1}$, so that $k_1 L^\theta k_1^{-1}$ is contained in $\text{GL}_{2l} \times \mathbb{C}^*$. Next, we conjugated by an element k_2 of GL_{2l} so that $k_2 k_1 L^\theta k_1^{-1} k_2^{-1}$ is $\text{Sp}_{2l} \times \mathbb{C}^* \equiv gL^\theta g^{-1}$. The last affirmation of the lemma follows because $[K, K]/[K, K]'$ is a symmetric variety. $\square$

Lemma 2.7 *Let (G, θ) be an indecomposable exceptional involution, let D be a color such that $\rho(D)$ is exceptional, let P be the stabilizer of D and let L' be a Levi subgroup of P such that $P/H_P = R_u P \times L'/H_{L'}$. Suppose that $\dim L'/H_{L'} > 2$ and let K , respectively K' , be as in the Lemma 2.6. Let V be a non-trivial irreducible L' -representation such that $V^{H_{L'}} \neq 0$. Then:*

- (i) $\dim V \geq \dim L'/H_{L'}$ and $\dim V \neq \dim L'/H_{L'} + 1$;
- (ii) if $\dim V = \dim L'/H_{L'}$, then $V^{H_{L'}}$ has dimension one and $\text{Stab}_{L'}(v)$ is not reductive for any $v \in V^{H_{L'}} \setminus \{0\}$;
- (iii) if there is $v \in V$ with stabilizer $H_{L'}$, then $\dim V \geq \dim L'/H_{L'} + 2$.

Proof of the Lemma 2.7. Observe that the first two points of the lemma imply the third one. Indeed, if V is as in the last point, then V cannot have dimension equal to $\dim L'/H_{L'}$ because $H_{L'}$ is reductive by the Lemma 2.2. Notice that K contains a maximal torus; moreover there are two parabolic subgroups Q and Q^- of L' , containing respectively $B_{L'}$ and the opposite of $B_{L'}$, such that $Q \cap Q^- = K$ is a Levi subgroup of both them.

For each r , let $\{e_1, \dots, e_r\}$ be the canonical basis of $\mathbb{C}^r$. It is sufficient to study the L' -representations with dimension at most $\dim L'/H_{L'} + 1$. If

$G/H = GL_{n+1}/GL_l \times GL_{n+1-l} (= SL_{n+1}/S(L_l \times L_{n+1-l}))$, then L' is isomorphic to $GL(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n-l+1} \mathbb{C}e_i) \times GL(\bigoplus_{i=n-l+2}^{n+1} \mathbb{C}e_i)$ in such way that K corresponds to $GL(\bigoplus_{i=1}^l \mathbb{C}e_i) \times GL(\bigoplus_{i=l+1}^{n-l+1} \mathbb{C}e_i) \times GL(\bigoplus_{i=n-l+2}^{n+1} \mathbb{C}e_i)$ and K' corresponds to $\Delta \times GL(\bigoplus_{i=l+1}^{n-l+1} \mathbb{C}e_i)$, where Δ is the “diagonal” in $GL(\bigoplus_{i=1}^l \mathbb{C}e_i) \times GL(\bigoplus_{i=n-l+2}^{n+1} \mathbb{C}e_i)$. Recall that $n > 2$. Suppose first that $[K, K]$ acts trivially over a non-zero vector of $V^{H_{L'}}$; in this case $SL(\bigoplus_{i=n-l+2}^{n+1} \mathbb{C}e_i)$ acts trivially on V . Using the fact that $\dim Z(K') = 2$, it is not difficult to prove that $V^{[K, K]}$ is one-dimensional and that $Z(K')$ acts not trivially on it, a contradiction. Hence, both the simple factors of $[K, K]$ act not trivially on V (otherwise there is $(g, g) \in \Delta$ such that $(g, g) \cdot v \neq v$). The representation $W := \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n-l+1} \mathbb{C}e_i \otimes (\bigoplus_{i=n-l+2}^{n+1} \mathbb{C}e_i)^*$ has dimension equal to $\dim L'/H_{L'}$. If there is another L' -representation, not isomorphic to W as $[L', L']$ -representation, over which both the simple factors of $[K, K]$ acts non-trivially and which has dimension at most $\dim W + 1$, then either $n = 2l = 4$ or $l = 1$. In the first case such representation is isomorphic to $W_1 := \bigwedge^2 \bigoplus_{i=1}^3 \mathbb{C}e_i \otimes (\bigoplus_{i=4}^5 \mathbb{C}e_i)^*$ (as $[L', L']$ -representation); moreover it is isomorphic to W as $[K, K]$ -representation. In the second case, such representation is isomorphic to $W_2 := \bigwedge^{n-1} \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathbb{C}e_i$ (as $[L', L']$ -representation) and we have $[K, K] = [K, K]' = SL(\bigoplus_{i=2}^n \mathbb{C}e_i)$, thus W_2 is isomorphic, as $[K, K]$ -representation, to the direct sum of a one-dimensional trivial representation with the dual of the standard representation. The decomposition $W = (\bigoplus_{i=1}^l \mathbb{C}e_i \otimes (\bigoplus_{i=n-l+2}^{n+1} \mathbb{C}e_i)^*) \oplus (\bigoplus_{i=l+1}^{n-l+1} \mathbb{C}e_i \otimes (\bigoplus_{i=n-l+2}^{n+1} \mathbb{C}e_i)^*)$ is $[K, K]$ -invariant; thus $W^{[K, K]'}$ is one-dimensional. One can show that $R_u Q$ stabilizes any $v \in W^{[K, K]'}$; moreover $\dim \text{Stab}_{L'}(v) = \dim R_u Q \cdot [K, K]' + 1$. On the other hand, $\dim Z(K) = 2$ and $Z(K) \cdot v$ is at plus, one-dimensional. Therefore $T'' := \text{Stab}_{Z(K)}(v)$ is one-dimensional and the connected component of $\text{Stab}_{L'}(v)$ is the semidirect product $R_u Q \ltimes (T''[K, K]')^0$, so it is not reductive. Given any U isomorphic, as $[L', L']$ -representation, to W , to W_1 or to W_2 , one can study in the same manner $U^{[K, K]'}$ and $\text{Stab}_{L'}(v)$ for each $v \in U^{[K, K]'} \setminus \{0\}$.

If $G = SO_{4l+2}$, then L' is isomorphic to $GL(\mathbb{C}^{2l+1})$ in such way that K corresponds to $GL(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{2l} \mathbb{C}e_i) \times GL(\mathbb{C}e_{2l+1})$ and K' corresponds to $Sp(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{2l} \mathbb{C}e_i) \times GL(\mathbb{C}e_{2l+1})$. The non-trivial irreducible L' -representations with dimension lesser or equal to $2l^2 + l + 1$ are isomorphic, as $[L', L']$ -representations, to $\mathbb{C}^{2l+1}$, to $\bigwedge^2 \mathbb{C}^{2l+1}$, to $\bigwedge^{2l-1} \mathbb{C}^{2l+1}$ or to $\bigwedge^{2l} \mathbb{C}^{2l+1}$. Let V be a L' -representation which contains a non-zero vector w fixed by K' and that is isomorphic, as $[L', L']$ -representation, to $\mathbb{C}^{2l+1}$. We have $(\mathbb{C}^{2l+1})^{[K, K]} = (\mathbb{C}^{2l+1})^{[K, K]'} = \mathbb{C}e_{2l+1}$; thus V is $\mathbb{C}^{2l+1} \otimes (\bigwedge^{2l+1} \mathbb{C}^{2l+1})^*$ and w is a multiple of $e_{2l+1} \otimes v$, where v is a non-zero vector of $(\bigwedge^{2l+1} \mathbb{C}^{2l+1})^*$. The stabilizer of $e_{2l+1} \otimes v$ in L' is $R_u Q^- \times (SL(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{2l} \mathbb{C}e_i) \times GL(\mathbb{C}e_{2l+1}))$. If $H_{L'}$ fixes $e_{2l+1} \otimes v$, then $H_{L'}$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $SL_{2l} \times \mathbb{C}^*$ (because the restriction of the map $\text{Stab}_{L'}(e_{2l+1}) \rightarrow \text{Stab}_{L'}(e_{2l+1})/R_u Q^-$ to $H_{L'}$ would be an isomorphism). However, one can show that there is not a reductive subgroup of $SL_{2l} \times \mathbb{C}^*$, containing $Sp_{2l} \times \mathbb{C}^*$, which has dimension equal to $\dim H_{L'}$, a contradiction. One can study in the same manner the L' -representations isomorphic, as $[L', L']$ -representations, to $\bigwedge^{2l} \mathbb{C}^{2l+1}$. On the other hand, $\bigwedge^2 \mathbb{C}^{2l+1}$ is isomorphic, as $[K, K]$ -representation, to $\bigwedge^2 \bigoplus_{i=1}^{2l} \mathbb{C}e_i \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{2l} \mathbb{C}e_i$, hence $(\bigwedge^2 \mathbb{C}^{2l+1})^{[K, K]'}$ is one-dimensional. The stabilizer in L' of any $v \in (\bigwedge^2 \mathbb{C}^{2l+1})^{[K, K]'} \setminus \{0\}$ contains $R_u Q$; moreover $\dim \text{Stab}_{L'} = \dim R_u Q \cdot [K, K]' + 1$. On the other

hand, $T'' := \text{Stab}_{Z(K)}(v)$ is one-dimensional because $Z(K) \cdot (v)$ is, at plus, one-dimensional. Therefore, the connected component of $\text{Stab}_{L'}(v)$ is the semidirect product $R_u Q \ltimes (T''[K, K'])^0$, so it is not reductive. One can study $\bigwedge^{2l-1} \mathbb{C}^{2l+1}$ in the same manner of $\bigwedge^2 \mathbb{C}^{2l+1}$ (notice that $\bigwedge^{2l-1} \mathbb{C}^{2l+1}$ is the dual representation of $\bigwedge^2 \mathbb{C}^{2l+1}$).

If L has type E_6 , then, up to isogeny, L' is isomorphic to $\text{Spin}_{10} \times \mathbb{C}^*$, in such way that K corresponds to $\text{Spin}_8 \times \text{SO}_2 \times \mathbb{C}^*$ and K' corresponds to $\text{Spin}_7 \times \mathbb{C}^*$. We have already observed that, by a dimensional count, one can show that $H_{L'}^0$ is isomorphic to K . Observe that the hypothesis $V^{H_{L'}} \neq 0$ implies that $Z(L')$ acts trivially on V . The irreducible non-trivial Spin_{10} -representations with dimension at most 17 are the half-spin representations and the first fundamental representation $\mathbb{C}^{10}$. One can easily show that none of such representation contains a vector fixed by $H_{L'}$. $\square$

Proof of Proposition 2.1. We work with a quotient $\tilde{L}$ of L' by a central subgroup in $H_{L'}$, so that we can write $\tilde{L} = \prod_{j=0}^s L_j$ as in the Lemma 2.4. We denote by $H_{\tilde{L}}$ the image of $H_{L'}$ in $\tilde{L}$. We want to reduce ourselves to the case where $\tilde{L}/\tilde{L}^\theta$ is a product of a variety satisfying $[\ast]$ and, eventually, a one-dimensional torus. Suppose Z smooth and write $Z = \bigoplus_{h=1}^m V(\lambda_h)$. Write $x_0 = \sum_{h=1}^m v_h$ with $v_h \in V(\lambda_h)$, so each $\tilde{L} \cdot v_h$ is dense in $V(\lambda_h)$. Moreover $Z(\tilde{L})^0 \cdot v_h$ is contained in $\mathbb{C}^* v_h$, so $\dim \tilde{L} \cdot v_h \leq \dim([\tilde{L}, \tilde{L}] \cdot v_h) + 1$. Up to re-index, we can suppose that L_1 does not act trivially on $V(\lambda_1)$. Write $\lambda_i = \sum \mu_{i,j}$, where $\mu_{i,j}$ is a L_j -weight for each i and j , so $V(\lambda_i)$ is isomorphic to $\bigotimes_j V(\mu_{i,j})$. Thus $V(\mu_{i,j})$ contains a $H_{\tilde{L}}$ -fixed vector v for each i and j ; hence, by the Lemmas 2.5 and 2.7, $\dim V(\mu_{i,j}) \neq 2$ for each j . Let I be the set $\{j \mid \dim V(\mu_{1,j}) > 1\}$. If I contains at least two elements, then $\dim V(\lambda_1) = \prod_{j \in I} \dim V(\mu_{1,j}) > \sum_{j \in I} \dim V(\mu_{1,j}) + 1 \geq \sum_{j \in I} \dim(L_j/H_{L_j}) + 1 \geq \dim \tilde{L} v_1$, a contradiction. Thus $V(\sum \mu_{1,j}) = V(\mu_{1,1}) \otimes V(\sum_{j \neq 1} \mu_{1,j})$, where $\dim V(\sum_{j \neq 1} \mu_{1,j}) = 1$. We have two possibilities: either $V(\lambda_1)$ is a smooth affine embedding of $L_1 \cdot v_1$ or there is a one-dimensional torus $T_1 \subset \prod_{i \neq 1} L_i$, such that $V(\lambda_1)$ is a smooth affine embedding of $(L_1 T_1) \cdot v_1$; in particular the dimension of $V(\lambda_1)$ is at most $\dim L_1/H_{L_1} + 1$. This fact implies, by the Lemma 2.7, that $\dim L_1/H_{L_1}$ is two.

Finally, suppose that $\dim L_i/H_{L_i} = 2$ for each $i > 0$. By the Lemma 2.5, $\dim V(\lambda_i) = \dim[\tilde{L}, \tilde{L}] v_i + 1$ for each i . Moreover we can suppose that, for each $i > 0$, L_i acts non-trivially on $V(\lambda_j)$ if and only if $i = j$; otherwise $\tilde{L} \cdot \sum_1^m v_i$ is not dense. We can identify L_1/H_{L_1} with $GL_2/(\mathbb{C}^*)^2$ and $V(\mu_{1,1})$ with $S^2 \mathbb{C}^2$. Let $n \in N_{SL_2}((\mathbb{C}^*)^2) \setminus (\mathbb{C}^*)^2$, then $n \cdot v_1 = -v_1$. The center of $\tilde{L}$ acts with one character over each $V(\lambda_i)$; moreover $Z(\tilde{L}) \cdot \sum_1^m v_i$ has dimension equal to m , because $\tilde{L} \cdot \sum_1^m v_i$ is dense in Z . Thus there is $t \in Z(\tilde{L})$ such that $t \sum v_i = -v_1 + \sum_{i>1} v_i$, so $nt \in H_{\tilde{L}}$. Therefore $H_{\tilde{L}}$ is not generated by $\tilde{L}^\theta$ and H_{L_0} , a contradiction. $\square$

In the rest of this section, we always suppose that L is θ -stable. We choose, as basis of the root system R_L of (L, T) , the basis associated to the Borel subgroup B_L . Let $R_{L,\theta}$ be the restricted root system of (L, θ) ; it is contained in $R_{G,\theta}$. We arbitrarily choose an order of the connected components of the Dynkin diagram of $R_{L,\theta}$ and we define $R_{L,\theta}^j$ as the subroot system of $R_{L,\theta}$ corresponding to the j -th connected component of the Dynkin diagram. Let $\{\alpha_1^j, \dots, \alpha_{l_j}^j\}$ be the set of simple roots of $R_{L,\theta}^j$; we index them as in [8]. Let l be the rank of

G/H and let n be the semisimple rank of G . In particular we suppose that, if $R_{L,\theta}^j$ has type A_{l_j} , then $(\alpha_h^j, \alpha_k^j) \neq 0$ only if h belongs to $\{k-1, k, k+1\}$. We prove the following theorem.

Theorem 2.2 *Let X be a simple symmetric variety with open orbit G/H . Suppose that the closed orbit Y is projective and that $\rho^{-1}(\alpha^\vee)$ is contained in $D(X)$ for each exceptional coroot $\alpha^\vee$ in $\rho(D(X))$. Then the closure of $T \cdot x_0$ in X is normal. Moreover X is smooth if and only if this toric variety is smooth. This is equivalent to the following conditions:*

- (i) *The restricted root system $R_{L,\theta}$ has type $\prod_{i=1}^p A_{l_i}$ for appropriate integers $p, l_1, \dots, l_p$. Moreover the rank of G/H is greater or equal to $\sum_{i=1}^p (l_i + 1)$.*
- (ii) *The cone $C(X)$ is generated by a basis of $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\chi(S), \mathbb{Z})$.*
- (iii) *We can index the dual basis $\{\lambda_1^1, \dots, \lambda_{l_1+1}^1, \lambda_1^2, \dots, \lambda_{l_2+1}^2, \dots, \lambda_1^q, \dots, \lambda_{l_q+1}^q\}$ of $\chi(S)$ so that $(\lambda_h^j, (\alpha_k^i)^\vee) = \delta_{h,k} \delta_{i,j}$ and $\frac{1}{l_j+1}((l_j+1)\lambda_i^j - i\lambda_{l_j+1}^j)$ is the i -th fundamental weight of $R_{L,\theta}^j$.*

Before proving the theorem, we make some remarks.

Remarks 2. i) We request that X is normal. Indeed there is a non normal equivariant completion $\tilde{X}$ of a symmetric space such that the closure of $T \cdot x_0$ in $\tilde{X}$ is smooth (see [17], §7.2). See also [15], §10 for an example of an affine non-normal embedding $\tilde{Z}$ of a symmetric space such that the closure of $T \cdot x_0$ is normal. Moreover the open orbits, respectively of $\tilde{X}$ and $\tilde{Z}$, are not exceptional.

ii) Given X which verifies the conditions of the theorem, we can almost describe the associated colored cone. The cone $C(X)$ is the dual cone of $\text{cone}(\lambda_1^1, \dots, \lambda_{l_q+1}^q)$ and $\chi(S)$ is the free abelian group with basis $\{\lambda_1^1, \dots, \lambda_{l_q+1}^q\}$. The set $\rho(D(X))$ is constituted by the primitive vectors of the 1-faces of $C(X)$ not contained in $-C^+$; moreover $D(X)$ contains $\rho^{-1}(\{\alpha^\vee\}_{\alpha \in R_{L,\theta} \text{ simple}})$. Given any $\alpha^\vee$ in $\rho(D(X)) \setminus R_{L,\theta}^\vee$, then $\rho^{-1}(\alpha^\vee)$ contains two elements, while $\rho^{-1}(\alpha^\vee) \cap D(X)$ contains one element. Any of the two colors in $\rho^{-1}(\alpha^\vee)$ can be a color of X . Remark that ρ is injective over $D(X)$.

iii) Suppose that L/H_L is a group $\tilde{L}$, i.e. suppose that $L = \tilde{L} \times \tilde{L}$ and that θ is defined by $\theta(x, y) = (y, x)$. The first condition of the theorem means that $\tilde{L}$ is the quotient of a product $\Pi(SL_{l_i+1} \times \mathbb{C}^*)$ by a finite subgroup (some integer l_i can be 0). If all the conditions of the theorem are verified, then $\tilde{L}$ is isomorphic to the product ΠGL_{l_i+1} and Z is isomorphic to the product ΠM_{l_i+1} , where M_{l_i+1} is the algebra of complex matrices of order l_i+1 . Observe that the product of matrices defines a product over ΠM_{l_i+1} which extends the product over ΠGL_{l_i+1} . This means that ΠM_{l_i+1} is an algebraic monoid whose unit group is ΠGL_{l_i+1} .

iv) Timashev (see [15]) studied a particular class of equivariant embeddings of reductive groups. These embeddings are not necessarily normal. Here G is a product $\tilde{G} \times \tilde{G}$ and the involution θ is defined by $\theta(x, y) = (y, x)$. Timashev supposes that there is a faithful $\tilde{G}$ -linear representation $V = \bigoplus V(\mu_i)$ with the following properties. The μ_i are all distinct and the map $\tilde{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\bigoplus \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(V(\mu_i)))$ is injective. He considers the closure of $\tilde{G}$ in $\mathbb{P}(\bigoplus \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(V(\mu_i)))$ and obtains a criterion for this variety to be normal, respectively smooth. Observe that G acts on $\bigoplus \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(V(\mu_i)) \subset \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(V)$ and the action coincides with the one over

$V \otimes V^*$ through the canonical isomorphism $\text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(V) \cong V \otimes V^*$. Also Renner (see [12]) considers the case where G/H is isomorphic to a reductive group $\dot{G}$, but he studies the affine (normal) equivariant embeddings of $\dot{G}$ with a G -fixed point. He classifies the smooth ones in the case where the center of $\dot{G}$ has dimension one and the derived group of $\dot{G}$ is simple.

Proof. First we reduce ourselves to the affine case. Observe that X is smooth if and only if Z is smooth. Let U (respectively U_L) be the unipotent radical of B (respectively of B_L), then $\mathbb{C}[Z]^{U_L}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}[X_B]^U$, so $\mathbb{C}(G/H)^{(B)}/\mathbb{C}^*$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}(L/H_L)^{(B_L)}/\mathbb{C}^*$; in our case T is contained in L and we can identify both $\mathbb{C}(G/H)^{(B)}/\mathbb{C}^*$ and $\mathbb{C}(L/H_L)^{(B_L)}/\mathbb{C}^*$ with $\chi_*(S)$. We can identify $\mathbb{C}[X_B]^{(B)}/\mathbb{C}^*$ with $C(X)^\vee \cap \chi(S)$ and $\mathbb{C}[Z]^{(B_L)}/\mathbb{C}^*$ with $C(Z)^\vee \cap \chi(S)$ (see [3], §3.2); in particular we can identify $\overline{C(X)} \subset \chi_*(S) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ with $C(Z) \subset \chi_*(S) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. Let $cl(T \cdot x_0, X)$ (respectively $\overline{T \cdot x_0}$) be the closure of $T \cdot x_0$ in X (respectively in Z). To reduce to the affine case, it is now sufficient to prove that $cl(T \cdot x_0, X)$ is normal (respectively smooth) if and only if $\overline{T \cdot x_0}$ is normal (respectively smooth). This fact holds because the intersection of $cl(T \cdot x_0, X)$ with X_B is $\overline{T \cdot x_0}$, so $\overline{T \cdot x_0}$ is open in $cl(T \cdot x_0, X)$. Moreover $cl(T \cdot x_0, X)$ is covered by the translates of $\overline{T \cdot x_0}$ with respect to the action of $N_{G^\theta}(T^1)$.

Observe that the combinatorial data $C(Z), D(Z), \chi(S)$ of Z does not change if we substitute L by a finite cover or by a quotient by a normal subgroup contained in H_L . Thus we can substitute L with a group of simply connect type, as we have done with G in the Remark 1. The only problem is that the group obtained is no longer a subgroup of G . On the other hand we can restrict to consider Z , “forgetting” X . In the following, we always consider an affine embedding Z of a symmetric homogeneous variety L/H_L , where L is as in the Remark 1.

Lemma 2.8 *The closure of $T \cdot x_0$ in Z is normal.*

Proof of Lemma 2.8. We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathbb{C}[Z]^{(T)}/\mathbb{C}^* & \\ \swarrow & & \searrow \\ \mathbb{C}[Z]^{(B_L)}/\mathbb{C}^* & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathbb{C}[\overline{T \cdot x_0}]^{(T)}/\mathbb{C}^*. \end{array} \quad (2.1)$$

Let $W_{L,\theta}$ be the Weyl group of $R_{L,\theta}$; it is isomorphic to $N_{L^\theta}(T^1)/C_{L^\theta}(T^1)$ (see [16], Proposition 26.2). Observe that $N_{L^\theta}(T^1)$ acts on Z , so $W_{L,\theta}$ acts on $\mathbb{C}[Z]^{(T)}/\mathbb{C}^*$; moreover $N_{L^\theta}(T^1)$ stabilizes $\overline{T \cdot x_0}$, thus $W_{L,\theta}$ acts on $\mathbb{C}[\overline{T \cdot x_0}]^{(T)}/\mathbb{C}^*$. Hence the elements of $W_{L,\theta} \cdot (C(Z)^\vee \cap \chi(S))$ are T -weights in $\mathbb{C}[\overline{T \cdot x_0}]^{(T)}/\mathbb{C}^*$. To prove that $\overline{T \cdot x_0}$ is normal it is sufficient to show that the elements of $W_{L,\theta} \cdot (C(Z)^\vee \cap \chi(S))$ are all the T -weights in $\mathbb{C}[\overline{T \cdot x_0}]^{(T)}/\mathbb{C}^*$. This holds because of the following lemma. Notice that $T \cdot x_0 = S \cdot x_0 \equiv S$ and $\mathbb{C}[\overline{T \cdot x_0}]^{(T)}/\mathbb{C}^* \equiv \mathbb{C}[\overline{S \cdot x_0}]^{(S)}/\mathbb{C}^*$. $\square$

Lemma 2.9 *Let λ be a L -spherical weight. If there is a T -eigenvector in $\mathbb{C}[Z]$ of eigenvalue λ , then there is a B_L -eigenvector in $\mathbb{C}[Z]$ of eigenvalue λ .*

Proof of Lemma 2.9. Let v be a T -eigenvector in $\mathbb{C}[Z]$ of eigenvalue λ , we can suppose that it is contained in an irreducible L -subrepresentation $V(\mu)$ of

$\mathbb{C}[Z]$ of highest weight μ . So $\lambda = \mu - \sum a_j \beta_j$, where $a_1, \dots, a_n$ are positive integers and $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n$ are the simple roots of L . The cone $C(Z)$ is generated by simple restricted coroots $\alpha_1^\vee, \dots, \alpha_r^\vee$ and by appropriate vectors $v_1, \dots, v_m$ in the antidominant Weyl chamber $-C^+$ of $R_{L,\theta}$. Moreover, for each i , $(\lambda, v_i) = (\mu, v_i) + \sum a_j (\beta_j, -v_i) \geq (\mu, v_i) \geq 0$ because μ belongs to $C(Z)^\vee$. On the other hand, $(\lambda, (\alpha_i)^\vee) \geq 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$ because λ is dominant, so λ belongs to $C(Z)^\vee$. Thus there is an eigenvector in $\mathbb{C}[Z]^{(B_L)}$ of T -eigenvalue λ . $\square$

Now, we prove the necessity of the conditions.

Lemma 2.10 *If Z is smooth, then $[L, L]$ has type $\coprod A_i$ and $\overline{T \cdot x_0}$ is smooth.*

We begin considering a particular case.

Lemma 2.11 *Suppose that $([L, L], \theta)$ is indecomposable and that $Z(L)$ is one-dimensional. There are a subgroup $L^\theta \subset H_L \subset N_L(L^\theta)$ and an affine smooth embedding of L/H_L if and only if R_L has type A_{l-1} . If a such embedding exists, then it is unique, up to an equivariant isomorphism, and the closure of $T \cdot x_0$ in it is smooth.*

Proof of Lemma 2.11. Let ς be the cone in $\chi_*(S) \otimes \mathbb{R}$ associated to $\overline{T \cdot x_0}$ and let $\varsigma^\vee$ be the dual cone. Notice that an affine embedding Z of L/H_L is smooth if and only if it is a L -representation; in particular Z must be an irreducible spherical representation with dimension lesser than $\dim [L, L]$. If moreover $[L, L]/[L, L]^\theta$ is isomorphic to a simple group $\dot{L}$, i.e. $[L, L] = \dot{L} \times \dot{L}$ and $\theta(x, y) = (y, x)$, then Z is isomorphic, as $[L, L]$ -representation, to $V(\lambda) \otimes V(-\omega_0(\lambda))$. Here ω_0 is the longest element of $W_{\dot{L}}$ and $V(\lambda)$ is a $\dot{L}$ -representation with dimension lesser than $\dim \dot{L}$. One can easily show that, given any L , there is a such L -representation if and only if $R_{L,\theta}$ has type A_{l-1} (see the answer to ex. 24.52 in [7], p. 531 for a list of the irreducible representations of a simple L with dimension at most $\dim L$). In this case Z is unique, up to twist the L -action by a diagram automorphism. More precisely Z is isomorphic, as $[L, L]$ -representation, either to $V(\omega_l)$ or to $V(\omega_1)$. Explicitly we have the following possibilities for Z (as $[L, L]$ -representation): i) $[L, L]$ is $SL(\mathbb{C}^n)$, $[L, L]^\theta$ is $SO(\mathbb{C}^n)$ and Z is either $S^2(\mathbb{C}^n)$ or $S^2(\mathbb{C}^n)^* \cong S^2(\bigwedge^{n-1} \mathbb{C}^n)$; ii) $[L, L]$ is $SL(\mathbb{C}^{2n})$, $[L, L]^\theta$ is $Sp(\mathbb{C}^{2n})$ and Z is either $\bigwedge^2(\mathbb{C}^{2n})$ or $\bigwedge^2(\mathbb{C}^{2n})^* \cong \bigwedge^{2n-2}(\mathbb{C}^{2n})$; iii) $[L, L]$ is $SO(\mathbb{C}^{2n+1})$, $[L, L]^\theta$ is $SO(\mathbb{C}^{2n})$ and Z is $\mathbb{C}^{2n+1}$; iv) $[L, L]$ is $SO(\mathbb{C}^{2n})$, $[L, L]^\theta$ is $SO(\mathbb{C}^{2n-1})$ and Z is $\mathbb{C}^{2n}$; v) $[L, L]$ is E_6 , $[L, L]^\theta$ is F_4 and Z is either $V(\omega_1)$ or $V(\omega_6)$. Remark that $Z(L)^0$ acts by twice a basic character. Now, we do a case-to-case analysis to prove that, given a smooth Z , the subvariety $\overline{T \cdot x_0}$ is smooth. We denote by $\{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$ the dual basis of the canonic basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ of $\mathbb{C}^n$.

i) If $([L, L], \theta)$ has type AI , let Q be the symmetric bilinear form $\sum f_i^2$ over $\mathbb{C}^n$. Then the involution is defined by $\theta(A) = (A^t)^{-1}$, L/L^θ is $GL(\mathbb{C}^n)/O(\mathbb{C}^n, Q)$ and Z is, up to isomorphism, $S^2(\mathbb{C}^n)^*$. The subgroup $T \subset GL(\mathbb{C}^n)$ of diagonal matrices is a maximally split torus, the vector fixed by L^θ is $\sum_{i=1}^n f_i^2$ and the closure of $T \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n f_i^2$ is $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathbb{C} f_i^2$.

ii) If $([L, L], \theta)$ has type AII , let Q be the antisymmetric bilinear form $\sum_{i=1}^n f_i \wedge f_{i+n}$ over $\mathbb{C}^{2n}$. Then L/L^θ is $GL(\mathbb{C}^{2n})/Sp(\mathbb{C}^{2n}, Q)$ and Z is, up to isomorphism, $\bigwedge^2(\mathbb{C}^{2n})^*$. The subgroup T of diagonal matrices is a maximally split torus, the vector fixed by L^θ is $\sum_{i=1}^n f_i \wedge f_{i+n}$ and the closure of $T \cdot (\sum_{i=1}^n f_i \wedge f_{i+n})$ is the vector space spanned by $f_1 \wedge f_{n+1}, \dots, f_n \wedge f_{2n}$.

iii) If $([L, L], \theta)$ has type *BII*, let Q be the symmetric bilinear form $\sum_{i=1}^n f_i \wedge f_{i+n} + f_{2n+1}^2$ over $\mathbb{C}^{2n+1}$. Let φ be the linear transformation of $\mathbb{C}^{2n+1}$ such that

$$\varphi(e_i) = \begin{cases} e_{n+1} & \text{if } i = 1 \\ e_1 & \text{if } i = n+1 \\ e_i & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Then L/L^θ is $SO(\mathbb{C}^{2n+1}, Q) \times \mathbb{C}^*/SO((\bigoplus_{i \neq 1, n+1} \mathbb{C}e_i \oplus \mathbb{C}(e_1 + e_{n+1})), Q) \times \{\pm id\}$ and the involution over $SO(\mathbb{C}^{2n+1}, Q)$ is the conjugation by the φ . The representation Z is $\mathbb{C}^{2n+1}$, over which $\mathbb{C}^*$ acts by twice a basic character. The subgroup T generated by the diagonal matrices of $SO(\mathbb{C}^{2n+1}, Q)$ and by the connected center is a maximally split torus, the vector fixed by L^θ is $e_1 - e_{n+1}$ and the closure of $T \cdot (e_1 - e_{n+1})$ is $\mathbb{C}e_1 \oplus \mathbb{C}e_{n+1}$.

iv) If $([L, L], \theta)$ has type *DII*, let Q be the symmetric bilinear form $\sum_{i=1}^n f_i f_{i+n}$ over $\mathbb{C}^{2n}$. Then L/L^θ is $SO(\mathbb{C}^{2n}, Q) \times \mathbb{C}^*/SO((\bigoplus_{i \neq 1, n+1} \mathbb{C}e_i \oplus \mathbb{C}(e_1 + e_{n+1})), Q) \times \{\pm id\}$ and the subgroup T generated by the diagonal matrices and by the connected center is a maximally split torus. The representation Z is $\mathbb{C}^{2n}$, over which $\mathbb{C}^*$ acts by twice a basic character. The vector fixed by L^θ is $e_1 - e_{n+1}$ and the closure of $T \cdot (e_1 - e_{n+1})$ is the vector space spanned by e_1 and e_{n+1} .

v) If $([L, L], \theta)$ has type *EIV*, L/L^θ is $E_6 \times \mathbb{C}^*/F_4 \times \{\pm id\}$ and Z is, up to isomorphism, $V(\omega_1)$. We will reduce to the already examined case of GL_6/Sp_6 . First, we recall some facts from [9]. Let $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}$ be either the complexification $\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{C}}$ of the quaternions or the complexification $\mathbb{O}_{\mathbb{C}}$ of the octonions. Given $a \in \mathbb{A}$, let $\bar{a}$ be its conjugate. Let $\mathcal{J}_3(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}})$ be the space of Hermitian matrices of order three, with coefficients in $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}$:

$$\mathcal{J}_3(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} r_1 & \bar{x}_3 & \bar{x}_2 \\ x_3 & r_2 & \bar{x}_1 \\ x_2 & x_1 & r_3 \end{pmatrix}, r_i \in \mathbb{C}, x_i \in \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}} \right\}.$$

$\mathcal{J}_3(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}})$ has the structure of a Jordan algebra with multiplication $A \circ B := \frac{1}{2}(AB + BA)$, where AB is the usual matrix multiplication. There is a well defined cubic form on $\mathcal{J}_3(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}})$ called the determinant. The subgroup of $GL_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{J}_3(\mathbb{O}_{\mathbb{C}}))$ preserving the determinant is E_6 and contains the subgroup preserving the Jordan multiplication, namely F_4 . On the other hand, SL_6 is contained in E_6 , stabilizes $\mathcal{J}_3(\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{C}})$ and its action over $\mathcal{J}_3(\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{C}})$ is faithful. The subspace $\mathcal{J}_3(\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{C}})$ of $\mathcal{J}_3(\mathbb{O}_{\mathbb{C}})$ is a (Jordan) subalgebra and its automorphism group is Sp_6 ; moreover one can show that $Sp_6 = SL_6 \cap F_4$. There is a simple subgroup K of F_4 of type A_1 such that $SL_6 \cdot K \subset E_6$ contains a maximal torus T of E_6 ; $T \times Z(L)^0$ is maximally split because the rank of E_6 is equal to the sum of the rank of F_4 plus the rank of E_6/F_4 . The group F_4 fixes the identity matrix because F_4 preserves the Jordan product. Notice that $\mathcal{J}_3(\mathbb{O}_{\mathbb{C}})$ is the first fundamental representation of E_6 , while $\mathcal{J}_3(\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{C}})$ is the second fundamental representation of SL_6 . Let T^1 be the maximal split torus contained in $T \times Z(L)^0$, then T^1 is contained $SL_6 \times Z(L)^0$, $T^1 \cdot Id$ is contained $\mathcal{J}_3(\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{C}})$ and Sp_6 fixes Id . (We have assumed that $Z(L)^0/\{\pm 1\}$ is the connected center of $GL_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{J}_3(\mathbb{O}_{\mathbb{C}}))$.) $\square$

Proof of Lemma 2.10. We proceed in a similar manner to the proof of Proposition 2.1. Write $L = \prod L_j \times \tilde{T}$, where the L_j are θ -stable semisimple normal subgroups of L , the (L_j, θ) are indecomposable and $\tilde{T}$ is a split central

torus. Suppose that there is a smooth affine embedding Z of L/H_L , for an oportune subgroup H_L , and write Z as a sum of irreducible representations, say $Z = \bigoplus V(\lambda_h)$. Write $x_0 = \sum v_h$ with $v_h \in V(\lambda_h)$, so $L \cdot v_h$ is dense in $V(\lambda_h)$. On the other hand, $\tilde{T} \cdot v_h$ is contained in $\mathbb{C}^* v_h$, so $\dim L \cdot v_h \leq \dim [L, L] \cdot v_h + 1$. Write $\lambda_i = \sum \mu_{i,j} + \nu_i$, where $\mu_{i,j}$ is a L_j -weight for each j and ν_i is a $\tilde{T}$ -character; each $V(\mu_{i,j})$ contains a L_j^θ -fixed vector, in particular $\dim V(\mu_{i,j}) \geq \dim L_j/H_{L_j} + 1$ for each i and j . The $[L, L]$ -representation $V(\sum_{j=1, \dots, r} \mu_{i,j})$ is the tensor product of the L_j -representations $V(\mu_{i,j})$. Therefore, if $\mu_{i,j} \neq 0$ for at least two index j_1 and j_2 , then $\dim V(\lambda_i) = \dim V(\sum \mu_{i,j}) = \prod_{j \mid \mu_{i,j} \neq 0} \dim V(\mu_{i,j}) > \sum_{j \mid \mu_{i,j} \neq 0} \dim V(\mu_{i,j}) \geq \sum_{j \mid \mu_{i,j} \neq 0} (\dim(L_j/H_{L_j}) + 1) \geq \dim L \cdot v_i$ (indeed $\dim L_j/H_{L_j} \geq 2$ for each j). Thus $V(\lambda_i)$ is a smooth affine equivariant embedding of $L_{j_i} T_i \cdot v_i$ for an appropriate index j_i and an appropriate one-dimensional subtorus T_i of $\tilde{T}$; moreover $\text{Stab}_{L_{j_i}}(v_i) \subset N_{L_{j_i}}(L_{j_i}^\theta)$. Every L_i acts non-trivially over exactly one $V(\lambda_i)$ and $T \cdot x_0$ is the product of the varieties $T \cdot v_i$, because $L \cdot x_0$ is dense in Z . Thus $\overline{T \cdot x_0}$ is the product of the closures of the $T \cdot v_i$ (in the $V(\lambda_i)$). By the previous lemma such closures are smooth and the L_i have type A_{l_i} . $\square$

Lemma 2.12 *If $\overline{T \cdot x_0}$ is smooth, then the conditions of the theorem are verified.*

The hypothesis implies that $\varsigma^\vee$ is generated by a basis $e_1, \dots, e_l$ of $\chi(S)$. Now, we want to describe $\mathbb{C}[\overline{T \cdot x_0}]$ with relation to the restricted root system of (L, θ) (when $\overline{T \cdot x_0}$ is smooth). Recall that the elements of $W_{L, \theta} \cdot C(Z)^\vee \cap \chi(S)$ are the T -weights in $\mathbb{C}[\overline{T \cdot x_0}]^{(T)}/\mathbb{C}^*$ and that $\mathbb{C}[\overline{T \cdot x_0}]$ is generated by S -seminvariant vectors $v(e_1), \dots, v(e_l)$ of weight respectively $e_1, \dots, e_l$. The symmetric group S_l acts on $\{e_1, \dots, e_l\}$. Let $\sigma_{i,j}$ be the transposition that exchanges e_i with e_j . The action of $W_{L, \theta}$ over $\chi(S) \otimes \mathbb{R} = \bigoplus \mathbb{R} e_i$ is induced by the action of $N_{L, \theta}(T^1)$ over $\mathbb{C}[\overline{T \cdot x_0}]^{(T)}$. Moreover $N_{L, \theta}(T^1)$ stabilizes $\mathbb{C}[\overline{T \cdot x_0}]^{(T)}$, so $W_{L, \theta}$ stabilizes the basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_l\}$ of $\chi(S) \otimes \mathbb{R}$; in particular $W_{L, \theta}$ exchanges the e_i . We want to describe the image of $W_{L, \theta}$ in S_l .

Lemma 2.13 *If $\overline{T \cdot x_0}$ is smooth, then, for every restricted L -root α , there are two indices i and j such that $\alpha = e_i - e_j$; in particular $\sigma_\alpha = \sigma_{i,j}$. Moreover $R_{L, \theta}$ is reduced.*

Proof of the Lemma 2.13. The orthogonal reflection σ_α of $\chi(S) \otimes \mathbb{R}$ stabilizes the basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_l\}$. Thus σ_α can exchange only two of the e_i , otherwise the (-1) -eigenspace would have dimension at least two. Suppose now that $\sigma_\alpha = \sigma_{i,j}$, then $\sigma_\alpha(e_i - e_j) = -(e_i - e_j)$, so $e_i - e_j$ is a multiple of α . Moreover it must be an integral multiple because $e_i - e_j = \sigma_\alpha(e_j) - e_j$ and e_j is a weight. We can write α as an integral linear combination of the e_j in a unique way, so $e_i - e_j = \pm \alpha$. Moreover, there is not a restricted root α such also 2α is a restricted root. Otherwise, by the previous part of the proof, there is i and j such that $\sigma_\alpha = \sigma_{2\alpha} = \sigma_{i,j}$ and $\alpha = 2\alpha = \pm(e_i - e_j)$, a contradiction. $\square$

Lemma 2.14 *If $\overline{T \cdot x_0}$ is smooth, then, for each fixed j , there is a subset $\{e_1^j, \dots, e_{l_j+1}^j\}$ of $\{e_1, \dots, e_l\}$ such that the simple restricted roots in $R_{L, \theta}^j$ are $e_1^j - e_2^j, \dots, e_{l_j}^j - e_{l_j+1}^j$; in particular $R_{L, \theta}^j$ has type A_{l_j} .*

Proof of the Lemma 2.14. The vectors $e_i - e_j$ and $e_h - e_k$ are orthogonal if and only if the sets $\{i, j\}$ and $\{h, k\}$ are disjoint. Indeed $\frac{2(e_h - e_k, e_i - e_j)}{(e_i - e_j, e_i - e_j)}(e_i - e_j) =$

$(e_h - e_k) - \sigma_{e_i - e_j}(e_h - e_k)$. Let α_1^j be an extreme simple root of $R_{L,\theta}^j$. Clearly we can choose e_1^j and e_2^j so that α_1^j is equal to $e_1^j - e_2^j$. Suppose that there is a subset $\{e_1^j, \dots, e_s^j\}$ such that α_i^j is equal to $e_i^j - e_{i+1}^j$ for each $i < s$ (with $s < l_j + 1$) and let $e_h - e_k$ be a simple root α_s^j which is not orthogonal to the space generated by $\alpha_1^j, \dots, \alpha_{s-1}^j$. Neither e_h nor e_k belongs to $\{e_1^j, \dots, e_{s-1}^j\}$, otherwise the Dynkin diagram would contain a cycle; thus $(\alpha_s^j, \alpha_i^j) = 0$ for each i strictly lesser than $s-1$. Hence $(\alpha_s^j, \alpha_{s-1}^j) \neq 0$, so e_s^j is equal either to e_h or to e_k . On the other hand, e_s^j cannot be equal to e_k , otherwise the root $\sigma_{\alpha_s^j}(\alpha_{s-1}^j)$ is equal to $e_{s-1}^j - e_h = e_{s-1}^j - e_k + e_k - e_h = \alpha_{s-1}^j - \alpha_s^j$. Thus $e_s^j = e_h$, we can define $e_{s+1}^j = e_k$ and the first part of the lemma is proved by induction. In particular, the Weyl group of $R_{L,\theta}^j$ is isomorphic to S_{l_j+1} . Therefore $R_{L,\theta}^j$ has type A_{l_j} , because $R_{L,\theta}$ is reduced by the Lemma 2.13. $\square$

Remark 3. The sets $\{e_1^j, \dots, e_{l_j+1}^j\}$ are pairwise disjoint because the sets $R_{L,\theta}^j$ are pairwise orthogonal. Thus we can index the basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_l\}$ as $\{e_1^1, \dots, e_{l_1+1}^1, e_1^2, \dots, e_{l_2+1}^2, \dots, e_{l_q+1}^q\}$, so that $\alpha_i^j = e_i^j - e_{i+1}^j$ for all i and j .

Lemma 2.15 *Suppose $\overline{T \cdot x_0}$ smooth and let λ_i^j be $\sum_{h=1}^i e_h^j$ for each i and j . Then $C(Z)^\vee \cap \chi(S)$ is generated by the λ_i^j .*

Proof of the Lemma 2.15. By the Lemma 2.9 and the diagram (2.1) we have $C(Z)^\vee = \text{cone}(e_1^1, \dots, e_{l_q+1}^q) \cap C^+$. Using the Lemma 2.14, one can easily show that the i -th fundamental weight ω_i^j of $R_{L,\theta}^j$ is equal to $\frac{1}{l_j+1}((l_j+1)\lambda_i^j - i\lambda_{l_j+1}^j)$. Moreover, $\lambda_{l_j+1}^j$ is invariant by $W_{L,\theta}$ for each j , so it is orthogonal to $\text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}(R_{L,\theta})$. Hence $\text{cone}(e_1^1, \dots, e_{l_q+1}^q) \cap C^+$ is equal to $\text{cone}(\lambda_1^1, \dots, \lambda_{l_q+1}^q)$. Indeed the restriction of $(\omega_i^j, \cdot)$ to $\text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}(R_{L,\theta})$ coincides with the restriction of $(\lambda_i^j, \cdot)$ for each $i \leq l_j$. Thus $C^+ \subset \text{cone}(\lambda_1^1, \dots, \lambda_{l_q+1}^q) + \text{cone}(-\lambda_{l_1+1}^1, -\lambda_{l_2+1}^2, \dots, -\lambda_{l_q+1}^q)$; moreover, if a vector $\sum a_i^j \lambda_i^j = \sum b_i^j e_i^j$ belongs to $\text{cone}(e_1^1, \dots, e_{l_q+1}^q)$, then $a_{l_j+1}^j = b_{l_j+1}^j$ is positive for each j . $\square$

Conclusion of the proof of the Lemma 2.12. The Lemma 2.15 implies the second condition of Theorem 2.2. The type of $R_{L,\theta}$ is $\prod_{i=1}^p A_{l_i}$ by the Lemma 2.14; on the other hand, $\text{rank } G/H \geq \sum_{i=1}^p (l_i + 1)$ because of the Lemma 2.14 and of the Remark 3. The last condition of Theorem 2.2 is easily verified using the Lemma 2.14 and the definition of the λ_i^j . $\square$

Next, we come to the converse of Lemma 2.12.

Lemma 2.16 *Suppose that the conditions of the Theorem 2.2 are verified. For all j , let e_1^j be λ_1^j and let $e_{i+1}^j = \sigma_{\alpha_i^j} e_i^j$ for every $i \leq l_j$. Then $\varsigma^\vee \cap \chi(S)$ is $\bigoplus_{i,j} \mathbb{Z}^+ e_i^j$ and $e_{i+1}^j = \lambda_{i+1}^j - \lambda_i^j$ for each $1 \leq i \leq l_j$; in particular $\overline{T \cdot x_0}$ is smooth.*

Proof of the Lemma 2.16. Recall that $\overline{T \cdot x_0}$ is the toric variety associated to the cone $\varsigma^\vee = W_{L,\theta} \cdot C(Z)^\vee$, where $C(Z)^\vee$ is equal to $\text{cone}(\lambda_1^1, \dots, \lambda_{l_q+1}^q)$; thus $\text{cone}(e_1^1, \dots, e_{l_q+1}^q)$ is contained in $\varsigma^\vee$. We define $\omega_0^j := \lambda_0^j := 0$ for all j (we use such definition only in the current proof). We prove that $e_{i+1}^j = \lambda_{i+1}^j - \lambda_i^j$ by induction on i . Suppose that this holds for $i-1$ and notice that $\lambda_h^j =$

$\omega_h^j + \frac{h}{l_j+1} \lambda_{l_j+1}^j$ for each j and $h < l_j + 1$ (here ω_h^j is the h -th fundamental weight of $R_{L,\theta}^j$). We have $e_{i+1}^j = \sigma_{\alpha_i^j}(\lambda_i^j - \lambda_{i-1}^j) = \frac{1}{l_j+1} \lambda_{l_j+1}^j + \sigma_{\alpha_i^j}(\omega_i^j - \omega_{i-1}^j) = \frac{1}{l_j+1} \lambda_{l_j+1}^j + \omega_{i+1}^j - \omega_i^j = \lambda_{i+1}^j - \lambda_i^j$, because the $\lambda_{l_j+1}^j$ are invariant under $W_{L,\theta}$. The equality $e_{i+1}^j = \frac{1}{l_j+1} \lambda_{l_j+1}^j + \omega_{i+1}^j - \omega_i^j$ implies that $\sigma_{\alpha_h^j} e_{i+1}^j \neq e_{i+1}^j$ only if h is equal to $i+1$ or to i . Thus $\text{cone}(e_1^1, \dots, e_{l_q+1}^q)$ is stabilized by $W_{L,\theta}$, hence it is equal to $\varsigma^\vee$ because it contains $C(Z)^\vee$. $\square$

Remark 4. Suppose that the conditions of the Theorem 2.2 are verified. The previous lemma together with the Lemma 2.14 imply that $\alpha_1^j = 2\lambda_1^j - \lambda_2^j$ and $\alpha_i^j = -\lambda_{i-1}^j + 2\lambda_i^j - \lambda_{i+1}^j$ for each j and $i > 1$.

In the following lemma we need that L is as in the Remark 1; indeed, without such assumption the Lemma 2.18 is false.

Lemma 2.17 *If $\overline{T \cdot x_0}$ is smooth, then Z is smooth.*

First, we consider a particular case. Recall that, supposed $\overline{T \cdot x_0}$ smooth, $R_{L,\theta}$ has type $\prod A_{l_j}$.

Lemma 2.18 *Suppose that $R_{L,\theta}$ has type A_{l-1} and that $\dim Z(L) = 1$. Then, there is one subgroup $L^\theta \subset H_L \subset N_L(L^\theta)$ and one affine embedding Z of L/H_L such that the closure of $T \cdot x_0$ in Z is smooth (we request that $H_L \cap Z(L)^0 \equiv \{\pm 1\} \subset \mathbb{C}^* \equiv Z(L)^0$). Moreover: i) H_L and Z are unique up to an equivariant isomorphism; ii) Z is smooth.*

Proof of the Lemma 2.18. We have already show in the proof of the Lemma 2.11 that, there are one subgroup H' and one affine L -variety Z' such that: i) $L^\theta \subset H' \subset N_L(L^\theta)$; ii) Z' is an affine smooth embedding of L/H' ; such variety is unique up to an equivariant isomorphism and the closure of $T \cdot x_0$ in it is smooth. Therefore, by the Lemma 2.16, it is sufficient to prove that there is one $L^\theta \subset H_L \subset N_L(L^\theta)$ and one affine embedding of L/H_L (up to equivariant isomorphism) satisfying the conditions of the Theorem 2.2.

Let H_L be a subgroup of L and let Z be an affine embedding of L/H_L satisfying the conditions of the Theorem 2.2; write $C(Z) = \text{cone}((\alpha_1^1)^\vee, \dots, (\alpha_{l-1}^1)^\vee, v_1)$. To determine H_L is sufficient to determine H_T (see [17], §2.2, Lemme 2). First, we determine the subgroup $H_{T \cap [L, L]}$. Observe that $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{l-1} \mathbb{Z}(\alpha_i^1)^\vee = \chi_*(T \cap [L, L]/T \cap [L, L]^\theta)$ has finite index in $\chi_*(T \cap [L, L]/H_{T \cap [L, L]})$ and that $\chi_*(T \cap [L, L]/H_{T \cap [L, L]})$ is contained in $\chi_*(S)$. Hence $\chi_*(T \cap [L, L]/H_{T \cap [L, L]})$ is $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{l-1} \mathbb{Z}(\alpha_i^1)^\vee$ and $H_{T \cap [L, L]}$ is $T \cap [L, L]^\theta$. On the other hand we have supposed that $H_{Z(L)^0} = (Z(L)^0)^\theta$, thus $\chi := \chi(T \cap [L, L]/H_{T \cap [L, L]} \times Z(L)^0/H_{Z(L)^0})$ is $\chi(T/T^\theta) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{l-1} \mathbb{Z}\omega_i^1 \oplus \mathbb{Z}\frac{1}{h}\lambda_l^1$ for an appropriate integer h , so $\chi(S)$ has index at least l in χ (observe that $\chi(Z(L)^0) \otimes \mathbb{R} = \mathbb{R}\lambda_l^1$ because λ_l^1 is orthogonal to all the simple restricted coroots). There is exactly one subgroup K of $N_L(L^\theta)/L^\theta$ of order at least l not intersecting neither $N_{[L, L]}([L, L]^\theta)/[L, L]^\theta$ nor $Z(L)^0/\{\pm id\}$. Indeed $N_{[L, L]}([L, L]^\theta)/[L, L]^\theta$ is isomorphic to the fundamental group of $R_{L,\theta}$, namely the cyclic group of order l ; on the other hand all the finite subgroups of $\mathbb{C}^*$ are cyclic. Therefore H_L/L^θ is equal to K , so $\chi_*(S)$ and H_L are univocally determined. By the Remark 4, we can suppose that $(\alpha_i^1, v_1) = -\delta_{i, l-1}$ up to isomorphism. On the other hand, $\{(\alpha_1^1)^\vee, \dots, (\alpha_{l-1}^1)^\vee, v_1\}$ is a basis of $\chi_*(S)$, thus the image of v_1 by the projection $(\chi_*(Z(L)^0) \otimes \mathbb{R}) \oplus \bigoplus \mathbb{R}(\alpha_i^1)^\vee \rightarrow$

$\chi_*(Z(L)^0) \otimes \mathbb{R}$ is determined up to the sign. Therefore $C(Z)$ is univocally determined up to the automorphism of L which is the identity on $[L, L]$ and coincides with θ on $Z(L)^0$. On the other hand, $D(Z) = D(L/H_L)$ because Z is affine and $\dim C(Z) = \text{rank } \chi_*(S)$ (see [3], Corollaire 2, page 51). Therefore, Z is univocally determined up to automorphism. $\square$

Proof of the Lemma 2.17. Let $\{(\alpha_1^1)^\vee, \dots, (\alpha_{l_1}^1)^\vee, v_1, (\alpha_1^2)^\vee, \dots, (\alpha_{l_2}^2)^\vee, v_2, \dots, v_q\}$ be the dual basis of $\{\lambda_1^1, \dots, \lambda_{l_1+1}^1, \lambda_1^2, \dots, \lambda_{l_2+1}^2, \dots, \lambda_{l_q+1}^q\}$. Write $L = \prod L_i \times \tilde{T}$ with (L_i, θ) indecomposable and $\tilde{T} = Z(L)^0$. Let T_i be the inverse image in T^1 of $\text{Im}(v_i) \subset S$ and define $L'_i = L_i T_i$. Observe that $\chi_*(Z(L)^0)$ is $\frac{1}{2} \chi_*(Z(L)^0 / H_{Z(L)^0})$, $\chi_*(T^1 \cap L'_i / H_{T^1 \cap L'_i})$ is $\mathbb{Z} v_i \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^{l_j+1} \mathbb{Z}(\alpha_j^i)^\vee$ and $\chi_*(S)$ is $\bigoplus_i \chi_*(T^1 \cap L'_i / H_{T^1 \cap L'_i})$. Thus L is the direct product of the L'_i and $H_L = \prod H_{L'_i}$. Hence $L/H_L = \prod L'_i / H_{L'_i}$. We have $C(Z)^\vee = \sum_{i=1}^q \text{cone}(\lambda_1^i, \dots, \lambda_{l_i+1}^i)$, hence Z is the product of the affine embeddings Z_i of $L'_i / H_{L'_i}$ corresponding respectively to the colored cones $(\text{cone}(\lambda_1^i, \dots, \lambda_{l_i+1}^i))^\vee, \rho^{-1}(\{\alpha_1^\vee, \dots, \alpha_{l_i}^\vee\})$. These embeddings are smooth by the previous lemma, so Z is smooth. $\square$

Remark 5. Let X be a smooth simple symmetric variety and suppose for simplicity that $\chi(S)$ has rank equal to the rank of R_L plus one, in particular that R_L is irreducible. We want to remark that there is only one way to index the basis of $\chi(S)$ that generates $C(X)^\vee$ so that it verifies the third condition. First, observe that indexing this basis is equivalent to indexing the dual basis of $\chi_*(S)$. Write $C(X) \cap \chi_*(S) = \bigoplus \mathbb{Z}^+(\alpha_i^1)^\vee \oplus \mathbb{Z}^+ v_1$. If we request that $(\alpha_i^1, \alpha_j^1) \neq 0$ only if $i \in \{j-1, j, j+1\}$, then the index of $\{(\alpha_1^1)^\vee, \dots, (\alpha_{l-1}^1)^\vee\}$ is defined up to the non trivial automorphism of the Dynkin diagram. The third condition of the theorem implies that $\alpha_1^1 = 2\lambda_1^1 - \lambda_2^1$ and $\alpha_i^1 = -\lambda_{i-1}^1 + 2\lambda_i^1 - \lambda_{i+1}^1$ for each $i > 1$; in particular $(\alpha_i^1, v_1) = -\delta_{l-1, i}$. Hence the condition $(\alpha_{l-1}^1, v_1) = -1$ let us determine completely the indexing of the basis $\{(\alpha_1^1)^\vee, \dots, (\alpha_{l-1}^1)^\vee, v\}$.

3 Smooth Complete Symmetric Varieties with Picard Number One

In this section we always suppose G semisimple. We classify the smooth complete symmetric varieties with Picard number one and we prove that they are all projective. Let X be a smooth complete symmetric variety of rank l . Let r be the number of colors which do not belong to X and let m be the number of one-dimensional cones which are faces of a colored cone belonging to the colored fan of X . Observe that we consider also the one-dimensional faces which do not intersect the valuation cone. It is known that the Picard group of X is free and its rank is equal to $r + m - l$. In fact, the rank of $\text{Pic}(X)$ is equal to cardinality of $D(G/H) \setminus D(X)$ minus the rank of G/H plus the rank of the group composed by the functions over $C(X)$ which are linear over each colored cone of X and assume integral value over $\chi_*(S) \cap C(X)$ (see [3], §5.2, Théorème); moreover the maximal colored cones are generated by a basis of $\chi_*(S)$. If $\text{Pic}(X)$ has rank one, then there is at most one color which does not belong to X . We have two cases: i) there is one color which does not belong to X and X is simple ($r = 1$ and $m = l$); ii) all the colors belong to X and $m = l + 1$.

Lemma 3.1 *If G/H has a smooth completion X with Picard number one, then G/H has exactly l colors. Moreover, any maximal simple open G -stable subva-*

riety of X has still Picard number one.

Proof. There are exactly $l+1$ B -stable prime divisors on X because $r+m = l+1$ and ρ is injective over $D(X)$. Among them, there must be at least one G -stable divisor. (The cones spanned only by colors intersect the valuation cone just in 0). On the other hand, there are at least l colors. Hence there are exactly l colors corresponding to l distinct restricted coroots. $\square$

Notice that $N(X)$ contains one vector, say v . Let $\tilde{X}$ be a maximal simple open G -subvariety of X . In the following we denote by L the standard Levi subgroup of the stabilizer of $\tilde{X}_B$. First of all, we prove that either $R_{G,\theta}$ is irreducible or has type $A_1 \times A_1$; next we will do a case-by-case analysis. In some cases we will classify first the possibilities for $\tilde{X}$ and in a second time the possibilities for X . Observe that in the previous section X was always a simple variety.

Lemma 3.2 (i) *The root system $R_{L,\theta}$ is irreducible and has type A_{l-1} .*

(ii) *Denote by α the simple restricted root such that $\alpha^\vee$ does not belong to $\rho(D(\tilde{X}))$. Then α must be an endpoint of the Dynkin diagram of $R_{G,\theta}$.*

Proof. The rank of $R_{G,\theta}$ is equal to rank of $R_{L,\theta}$ plus one, because $\rho(D(\tilde{X}))$ contains $l-1$ elements and ρ is injective. On the other hand, as $\tilde{X}$ is smooth, the $\text{rank}(R_{G,\theta})$ is also greater (or equal) than $\text{rank}(R_{L,\theta})$ plus the number of connected components of the Dynkin diagram of $R_{L,\theta}$. Thus the first point of the lemma follows. To prove the second point observe that the Dynkin diagram of $R_{L,\theta}$ is obtained from the one of $R_{G,\theta}$ by removing the vertex corresponding to α . $\square$

Lemma 3.3 *The root system $R_{G,\theta}$ is irreducible or its type is $A_1 \times A_1$.*

Proof. Suppose that $R_{G,\theta}$ is reducible, then its type is either $A_{l-1} \times A_1$ or $A_{l-1} \times BC_1$, because of Lemma 3.2. We index the simple roots of $R_{G,\theta}$ so that α_l is orthogonal to the other simple roots and we denote by ω_1 the first fundamental weight of the subroot system of type A_{l-1} ; in particular we assume $D(\tilde{X}) = \{D_{\alpha_i^\vee}\}_{i < l}$. The variety $\tilde{X}$ cannot be complete, otherwise $C(\tilde{X})$ contains $-\omega_1^\vee$. Notice that $C(\tilde{X})$ contains also $\omega_1^\vee$, because $\omega_1^\vee$ is a linear combination of $\alpha_1^\vee, \dots, \alpha_{l-1}^\vee$ with positive coefficients. There is another maximal simple subvariety with colors $\{D_{\alpha_j^\vee}\}_{j \neq i}$ for an appropriate $i < l$, because $\tilde{X}$ is not complete and X contains exactly one G -stable divisor. Hence, by the Lemma 3.2, there is $i < l$ such that $\alpha_1, \dots, \hat{\alpha}_i, \dots, \alpha_l$ generate a root system of type A_{l-1} . This is possible only if l is equal to two and $R_{G,\theta}$ has type $A_1 \times A_1$. $\square$

Remarks 6: i) by the previous two lemmas, the variety X may be non-simple only if $R_{G,\theta}$ has type $A_1 \times A_1$, A_l , B_2 , D_l or G_2 ; ii) because of the Remark 5, if $\rho(D(\tilde{X})) = \{\alpha_1^\vee, \dots, \alpha_{l-1}^\vee\}$, then $(\alpha_i^\vee, v)$ is equal either to $-\delta_{i,1}$ or to $-\delta_{i,l-1}$ for each $i \leq l-1$; iii) if G/H is Hermitian then, by the Lemma 3.1, $H = N_G(G^\theta)$ and there are no exceptional roots.

In the following we do a case-by-case analysis.

i) Suppose that $R_{G,\theta}$ is reducible. We have seen that it has type $A_1 \times A_1$ and that X is not simple. Thus X is covered by two simple open subvarieties whose associated colored cones are respectively $(\text{cone}(\alpha_1^\vee, v), \{D_{\alpha_1^\vee}\})$ and

$(\text{cone}(\alpha_2^\vee, v), \{D_{\alpha_2^\vee}\})$; so $v = -\omega_1^\vee - \omega_2^\vee$ because of the Remarks 6. Observe that the lattice generated by $\alpha_1^\vee$ and v is equal to the lattice generated by $\alpha_2^\vee$ and v ; more precisely, it is the lattice $\mathbb{Z}2\omega_1^\vee \oplus \mathbb{Z}(\omega_1^\vee + \omega_2^\vee)$. Let $\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2\}$ be the dual basis of $\{\alpha_1^\vee, v\}$. We have $\lambda_1 = \frac{1}{2}\alpha_1 - \frac{1}{2}\alpha_2$, $\lambda_2 = -\alpha_2$ and $\frac{1}{2}(2\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) = \frac{1}{2}\alpha_1 = \omega_1$. We can proceed in the same manner for the dual basis of $\{\alpha_2^\vee, v\}$. We have proved that in this case X is smooth.

In the following we always assume $R_{G,\theta}$ irreducible. Moreover, we number the simple restricted roots as in [8].

Lemma 3.4 *Let (G, θ) be an indecomposable involution and let $(\text{cone}(\alpha_1^\vee, \dots, \alpha_{l-1}^\vee, v), \rho^{-1}(\{\alpha_1^\vee, \dots, \alpha_{l-1}^\vee\}))$ be a colored cone in $\chi_*(T^1) \otimes \mathbb{R}$. There is at most one subgroup $G^\theta \subset H \subset N_G(G^\theta)$ such that the embedding $\tilde{X}$ of G/H corresponding to the previous colored cone is smooth.*

Proof of Lemma 3.4. Suppose that a such group exists; in particular $R_{L,\theta}$ has type A_{l-1} . We can suppose that $\{\alpha_1^\vee, \dots, \alpha_{l-1}^\vee, v\}$ is a basis of $\chi_*(S)$; let $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_l\}$ be the dual basis. We have to prove that $\chi_*(S)$, or equivalently v , are univocally determined. Notice that v is determined up to a multiplicative constant because it generates a one-dimensional face of the fixed colored cone. Moreover, if we substitute v with a multiple, $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{l-1}$ do not change because $(\lambda_i, v) = 0$ for each $i < l$. Thus, it is sufficient to prove that there is a unique possibility for λ_l . By the Theorem 2.2, λ_l is equal to $l(\lambda_1 - \tilde{\omega}_1)$, thus it is univocally determined (here $\tilde{\omega}_1$ is the first fundamental weight of the root system generated by $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{l-1}$). $\square$

ii) Suppose that $R_{G,\theta}$ has rank one. Then, for each H such that $G^\theta \subset H \subset N_G(G^\theta)$, there is a unique (non trivial) embedding and it is simple, projective, smooth, with Picard number at most two and $D(X)$ equal to the empty set. The group $\text{Pic}(X)$ has rank two if and only if G/H is Hermitian and $H = G^\theta$. In this case we have two possibilities: i) (G, θ) has type AI , G/H is isomorphic to SL_2/SO_2 , the restricted root system has type A_1 and X is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$; ii) (G, θ) has type AIV , G/H is isomorphic to $SL_{n+1}/S(L_1 \times L_n)$, the restricted root system has type BC_1 , X is exceptional and isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^n \times (\mathbb{P}^n)^*$.

In the following we always assume that $R_{G,\theta}$ has rank at least two. We will work with the standard inner product over $\mathbb{R}^n$ and we will denote by $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ the usual orthonormal basis. One can realize a root system of type A_{l-1} as the set $\{e_i - e_j \mid i \neq j\}$ contained in the vector space $\{\sum a_i e_i \in \mathbb{R}^l \mid \sum a_i = 0\}$. Moreover, $\{e_1 - e_2, \dots, e_{l-1} - e_l\}$ is a basis of the root system and the j -th fundamental weight is $\frac{1}{l}(\sum_{i=1}^j e_i - j \sum_{i=1}^l e_i)$.

iii) Suppose that $R_{G,\theta}$ has type A_l . As before, we can realize $R_{G,\theta}$ as the set $\{e_i - e_j \mid i \neq j\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{l+1}$; in particular we can identify the restricted roots with the corresponding coroots. Up to an automorphism of the Dynkin diagram, we can suppose that $\rho(D(\tilde{X})) = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{l-1}\}$. First, we consider the case where X is simple, i.e. $\tilde{X}$ is complete and coincides with X . In this case $C(\tilde{X})$ is generated by $-\omega_1$ and $\rho(D(\tilde{X}))$. Indeed $-\omega_2 = \alpha_1 - 2\omega_1$ and $-\omega_{j+1} = \sum_{i=1}^j \alpha_j - \omega_1 - \omega_j$ for each $j = 2, \dots, l-1$. We set $f_i = -e_{l-i+1}$ and $\gamma_i = \alpha_{l-i}$, so that $\gamma_i = f_i - f_{i+1}$ and $-\omega_1 = \frac{1}{l+1}((l+1)f_l - \sum_{i=0}^l f_i)$ (we allow i to be zero). We have renamed the simple restricted roots so that $(\gamma_{l-1}, -\omega_1) = -1$. Let $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_l\}$ be the dual basis of $\{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{l-1}, -\omega_1\}$. We have $\lambda_j = (\sum_{i=1}^j f_i) - j f_0$, so $(1/l)(l\lambda_j - j\lambda_l) = (1/l)(l \sum_{i=1}^j f_i - j \sum_{i=1}^l f_i)$

is the j -th fundamental weight $\tilde{\omega}_j$ of the root system generated by $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{l-1}$. Hence, the symmetric variety with $\rho(D(\tilde{X})) = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{l-1}\}$, $N(\tilde{X}) = \{-\omega_1\}$ and $\chi_*(S)$ equal to the weight lattice of $R_{G,\theta}^\vee$ is smooth. It is the only possibility for a simple X because of the Lemma 3.4.

Now we classify the X which are not simple. In this case X has two closed orbits; indeed the maximal colored cones of the colored fan of X are $(\text{cone}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{l-1}, v), \{D_{\alpha_1^\vee}, \dots, D_{\alpha_{l-1}^\vee}\})$ and $(\text{cone}(\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_l, v), \{D_{\alpha_2^\vee}, \dots, D_{\alpha_l^\vee}\})$. Let $b\omega_1$ be the primitive vector of $\mathbb{R}^+\omega_1$; we have $1 \leq b \leq l+1$. Suppose first that $(v, \alpha_{l-1}) = -\delta_{l,1}$ for each $i < l$; then $v = \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} a_i \gamma_i - b\omega_1$, where the γ_i are as before. The a_i are positive integers, because $-C^+ \cap \chi_*(S) \subset \mathbb{Z}^+(-\omega_1) + \sum \mathbb{Z}^+ \gamma_i$; the coefficient of v with respect to $-\omega_1$ is b because $-b\omega_1$ belongs to the lattice generated by $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{l-1}$ and v . Let $\{\tilde{\lambda}_1, \dots, \tilde{\lambda}_l\}$ be the dual basis of $\{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{l-1}, v\}$; we have $\tilde{\lambda}_l = (1/b)\lambda_l$ and $\tilde{\lambda}_i = \lambda_i - (a_i/b)\lambda_l$ for each $i < l$. Because $\tilde{X}$ is smooth we have $\tilde{\omega}_j = \frac{1}{l}(\tilde{l}\tilde{\lambda}_j - j\tilde{\lambda}_l) = \frac{1}{l}(l\lambda_j - j\lambda_l) + \frac{1}{l}(j - \frac{la_j}{b} - \frac{j}{b})\lambda_l$, so $a_j = \frac{(b-1)j}{l}$. In particular l divides $b-1$, so either $b=1$ or $b=l+1$. If $b=1$, then the a_j are all zero and $\tilde{X}$ is complete, a contradiction. If $b=l+1$, then $a_j = j$ for each j and $v = -\omega_1 - \omega_l$ is a root. Thus $\chi(S)$ must be the weight lattice of $R_{G,\theta}$. Notice that $-\omega_1 - \omega_l = -\alpha_1 - \dots - \alpha_l$, so $\{\alpha_{l-1}, \dots, \alpha_1, -\omega_1 - \omega_l\}$ is a basis of $\chi_*(S)$. Observe that $-\omega_1 - \omega_l$ is fixed by the non trivial automorphism of the Dynkin diagram. Next, suppose that $(\alpha_i, v) = -\delta_{i,l-1}$ for each $i < l$, so $v = -\omega_{l-1} - a\omega_l$. We exclude this case because the simple variety associated to $\text{cone}(\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_l, v)$ is not smooth.

We have proved that, if X is not simple, then it is covered by two simple varieties corresponding to the colored cones $(\text{cone}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{l-1}, -\omega_1 - \omega_l), \{D_{\alpha_1^\vee}, \dots, D_{\alpha_{l-1}^\vee}\})$ and $(\text{cone}(\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_l, -\omega_1 - \omega_l), \{D_{\alpha_2^\vee}, \dots, D_{\alpha_l^\vee}\})$. If l is at least three, then $-\omega_2$ does not belongs neither to $\text{cone}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{l-1}, -\omega_1 - \omega_l)$ nor to $\text{cone}(\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_l, -\omega_1 - \omega_l)$; thus l must be two. In this case, the previous colored cones define a complete variety because $\text{cone}(-\alpha_1, -\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) \subset \text{cone}(\alpha_2, -\omega_1 - \omega_2)$.

iv) Suppose that $R_{G,\theta}$ has type B_l with $l > 2$; then X must be simple. Observe that the dual root system has type C_l and $\rho(D(X)) = \{\alpha_1^\vee, \dots, \alpha_{l-1}^\vee\}$. We can realize $R_{G,\theta}$ as the set $\{\pm(e_i \pm e_j) \mid i \neq j\} \cup \{\pm e_i\} \subset \mathbb{R}^l$; moreover we can suppose that the basis of $R_{G,\theta}$ is $\{e_1 - e_2, \dots, e_{l-1} - e_l, e_l\}$. The dual root system is $\{\pm(e_i \pm e_j) \mid i \neq j\} \cup \{\pm 2e_i\} \subset \mathbb{R}^l$ and has basis $\{e_1 - e_2, \dots, e_{l-1} - e_l, 2e_l\}$. Notice that the cone generated by $\rho(D(X))$ and $-C^+$ is equal to the cone $\text{cone}(\alpha_1^\vee, \dots, \alpha_{l-1}^\vee, -\omega_1^\vee)$. Indeed $-\omega_2^\vee = \alpha_1^\vee - 2\omega_1^\vee$ and $-\omega_i^\vee = \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \alpha_j^\vee - \omega_1^\vee - \omega_{i-1}^\vee$ for $i \geq 3$. If $\chi(S)$ is the root lattice of $R_{G,\theta}$, then $C(X) \cap \chi_*(S)$ is generated by $\{\alpha_1^\vee, \dots, \alpha_{l-1}^\vee, -\omega_1^\vee\}$, which is a basis of $\chi_*(S)$. Now we can proceed as in the case of A_l , because the Cartan numbers $(\alpha_i, \alpha_j^\vee)$ with $j < l$ are equal to the ones of A_l ; explicitly we define $f_i = -e_{l-i+1}$ and $\gamma_i = \alpha_{l-i}$, so that $-\omega_1 = -\omega_1^\vee = f_l$, $\gamma_0 = -f_1$ and $\gamma_i = \gamma_i^\vee = f_i - f_{i+1}$ for each $i = 1, \dots, l-1$. Let $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_l\}$ be the dual basis of $\{\gamma_1^\vee, \dots, \gamma_{l-1}^\vee, -\omega_1^\vee\}$; we have $\lambda_j = \sum_{h=1}^j f_h$, so $(1/l)(l\lambda_j - j\lambda_l) = (1/l)(l \sum_{h=1}^j f_h - j \sum_{h=1}^l f_h)$ is the j -th fundamental weight of the root system generated by $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{l-1}$. We have proved that in this case X is smooth. It is the only possibility because of Lemma 3.4.

v) Suppose now that $R_{G,\theta}$ has type C_l . Then X must be simple and $\rho(D(X))$ must be equal to $\{\alpha_1^\vee, \dots, \alpha_{l-1}^\vee\}$. Observe that the dual root system has type B_l . We can realize $R_{G,\theta}$ as $\{\pm(e_i \pm e_j) \mid i \neq j\} \cup \{\pm 2e_i\} \subset \mathbb{R}^l$ with basis $\{e_1 - e_2, \dots, e_{l-1} - e_l, 2e_l\}$; the dual root system is $\{\pm(e_i \pm e_j) \mid i \neq j\} \cup \{\pm e_i\}$.

The cone generated by $\rho(D(X))$ and $-C^+$ is $\text{cone}(\alpha_1^\vee, \dots, \alpha_{l-1}^\vee, -\omega_1^\vee)$. Indeed $-\omega_2^\vee = \alpha_1^\vee - 2\omega_1^\vee$, $-\omega_i^\vee = \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \alpha_j^\vee - \omega_1^\vee - \omega_{i-1}^\vee$ for $2 < i < l$ and $-2\omega_l^\vee = \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} \alpha_j^\vee - \omega_1^\vee - \omega_{l-1}^\vee$. The lattice $\chi_*(S)$ is generated by the restricted coroots because $\omega_l^\vee$ does not belong to $\mathbb{Z}(-\omega_1^\vee) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{l-1} \mathbb{Z}\alpha_i^\vee$; thus $G = G^\theta$. We have to exclude the cases where G/H is Hermitian, because in such cases X would have rank two. The set $\{\alpha_1^\vee, \dots, \alpha_{l-1}^\vee, -\omega_1^\vee\}$ is a basis of $\chi_*(S)$ because $-\omega_1^\vee = -\sum_{i=1}^l \alpha_i^\vee$. We define $f_i = -e_{l-i+1}$ and $\gamma_i = \alpha_{l-i}$, so that $-\omega_1 = -\omega_1^\vee = f_l$, $\gamma_0 = -2f_1$ and $\gamma_i = \gamma_i^\vee = f_i - f_{i+1}$ for each $i = 1, \dots, l-1$. Let $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_l\}$ be the dual basis of $\{\gamma_1^\vee, \dots, \gamma_{l-1}^\vee, -\omega_1\}$, then $\lambda_j = \sum_{h=1}^j f_h$ and $(1/l)(l\lambda_j - j\lambda_l) = (1/l)(l \sum_{i=1}^j f_i - j \sum_{i=1}^l f_i)$ is the j -th fundamental weight of the root system generated by $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{l-1}$. Thus, X is smooth if $C(X) = \text{cone}(\gamma_1^\vee, \dots, \gamma_{l-1}^\vee, -\omega_1^\vee)$ and $\chi_*(S) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^l \mathbb{Z}\gamma_i^\vee$; it is the only possibility because of Lemma 3.4.

vi) Suppose that $R_{G,\theta}$ has type B_2 . We can realize $R_{G,\theta}$ as in the case of B_l with $l > 2$. First suppose that $\rho(D(\tilde{X})) = \{\alpha_1^\vee\}$ and write $v = -a\omega_1^\vee - b\omega_2^\vee$. Let $\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2\}$ be the dual basis of $\{\alpha_1^\vee, v\}$; we have $\lambda_1 = -\alpha_2 - \frac{b}{a+2b}(-\alpha_1 - 2\alpha_2)$ and $\lambda_2 = \frac{1}{a+2b}(-\alpha_1 - 2\alpha_2)$. We have $a = 1$ because $\frac{1}{2}(2\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) = \frac{1}{2}(-2\alpha_2 - \frac{1+2b}{a+2b}(-\alpha_1 - 2\alpha_2))$ must be $\frac{1}{2}\alpha_1$. On the other hand $1 + 2b$ divides 2 because λ_2 is a weight, so $b = 0$. Hence $v = -\omega_1^\vee$; in particular $\tilde{X}$ is complete and $\chi(S)$ is the restricted root lattice because $-\omega_2^\vee = -2\omega_1^\vee + \alpha_1^\vee$. We have proved that there is only one smooth, simple embedding with Picard number one and $\rho(D(\tilde{X})) = \{\alpha_1^\vee\}$; moreover it is complete. Thus there is no a smooth, complete, non simple embedding with Picard number one, because otherwise it would strictly contain a simple, open, smooth G -subvariety $\tilde{X}$ with Picard number one and $\rho(D(\tilde{X})) = \{\alpha_1^\vee\}$. Finally, we consider the case in which X is simple and $\rho(D(X)) = \{\alpha_2^\vee\}$, so $C(X) = \text{cone}(-\omega_2^\vee, \alpha_2^\vee)$. Because of the completeness of X , one can study this case exactly as the case v) of C_n . Observe that if G/H is Hermitian, then we have to consider only the first possibility, because in the second case the Picard number would be two.

vii) Suppose now that $R_{G,\theta}$ has type BC_l . We can realize $R_{G,\theta}$ as the set $\{\pm(e_i \pm e_j) \mid i \neq j\} \cup \{\pm 2e_i\} \cup \{\pm e_i\} \subset \mathbb{R}^l$; we can also choose $\{e_1 - e_2, \dots, e_{l-1} - e_l, e_l\}$ as basis of $R_{G,\theta}$. The weight lattice is equal to the root lattice; moreover, BC_l coincides with its dual root system in this realization as subset of $\mathbb{R}^l$ (but $\frac{2}{(\alpha, \alpha)}\alpha$ can be different from α). We proceed as in the cases of B_l and C_l . The G -variety X must be simple; hence $C(X)$ is the cone generated by $-C^+$ and $\rho(D(X))$, namely $\text{cone}(\alpha_1^\vee, \dots, \alpha_{l-1}^\vee, -\omega_1^\vee)$. Observe that we exclude the case where $l = 2$ and $\rho(D(\tilde{X})) = \{\alpha_2^\vee\}$, because $R_{L,\theta}$ would have type BC_1 . The set $\{\alpha_1^\vee, \dots, \alpha_{l-1}^\vee, -\omega_1^\vee\}$ is a basis of the restricted coroot lattice because $\omega_1^\vee = e_1$; now, one can prove the smoothness of X exactly as in the case of B_l .

viii) Suppose that $R_{G,\theta}$ has type D_l . We can realize $R_{G,\theta}$ as the set $\{\pm(e_i \pm e_j) \mid i \neq j\} \subset \mathbb{R}^l$; moreover we can choose $\{e_1 - e_2, \dots, e_{l-1} - e_l, e_{l-1} + e_l\}$ as basis. In particular, we can identify the restricted roots with the corresponding coroots. We can suppose, up to an automorphism of the Dynkin diagram, that $\rho(D(\tilde{X})) = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{l-1}\}$. Suppose first that $(\alpha_i, v) = -\delta_{i,1}$ for each $i < l$, so $v = \sum_{i=1}^{l-2} (ia + i - 1)\alpha_i + \frac{(l-2)a+l-3}{2}\alpha_{l-1} + \frac{la+l-1}{2}\alpha_l$ for an appropriate constant a . The restricted root α_l belongs to $\mathbb{Z}v \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{l-1} \mathbb{Z}\alpha_i$, thus its coordinate with respect to v , namely $\frac{2}{la+l-1}$, is an integer. On the other hand $v = -\omega_1 - b\omega_l$; we obtain $2a = -b - 2$ comparing the coordinates of v in the bases of the simple

restricted roots, respectively of the fundamental spherical weights. The previous facts imply that $b = 0$, so $v = -\omega_1$. We set $f_i = -e_{l-i+1}$ and $\gamma_i = \alpha_{l-i}$, so that $-\omega_1 = f_l$, $\gamma_0 = -f_1 - f_2$ and $\gamma_i = f_i - f_{i+1}$ for each $i = 1, \dots, l-1$. Defining $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_l\}$ as the dual basis of $\{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{l-1}, v\}$, we have $\lambda_j = \sum_{i=1}^j f_i$ for each j , thus $\frac{1}{l}(\lambda_j - j\lambda_l)$ is the j -th fundamental weight of $R_{L,\theta}$. Moreover the lattice $\chi_*(S)$ is freely generated by $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_{l-2}, \omega_{l-1} + \omega_l, 2\omega_l$.

Suppose now that $(\alpha_i, v) = -\delta_{i,l-1}$ for each $i < l$, thus $v = -\omega_{l-1} - b\omega_l$. Moreover v has to be equal to $a \sum_{i=1}^{l-2} i\alpha_i + \frac{(l-2)a-1}{2}\alpha_{l-1} + \frac{la+1}{2}\alpha_l$ for an appropriate constant a . We compare the coordinates of v in the bases of the simple restricted roots, respectively of the fundamental spherical weights, obtaining $2a = -b - 1$. On the other hand, the restricted root α_l must belong to the lattice generated by $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{l-1}, v\}$, so its coordinate with respect to v , namely $\frac{2}{la+1}$, must be an integer; hence $a = -\frac{1}{2}$, $v = -\omega_{l-1}$ and l is equal either to four or to six. If $l = 4$ we can reduce this case to the one where $C(\tilde{X}) = \text{cone}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, -\omega_1)$ by an automorphism of the Dynkin diagram. Finally consider the case where $l = 6$ and $C(\tilde{X}) = \text{cone}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_5, -\omega_5)$. The variety $\tilde{X}$ must be complete because the simple symmetric variety corresponding to $(\text{cone}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_4, \alpha_6, -\omega_5), \{D_{\alpha_1^\vee}, \dots, D_{\alpha_4^\vee}, D_{\alpha_6^\vee}\})$ is not smooth. But $-\omega_3$ is equal to $-\frac{3}{2}\omega_5 - \frac{1}{4}\alpha_1 - \frac{1}{2}\alpha_2 - \frac{3}{4}\alpha_3 + \frac{3}{4}\alpha_5$, so it does not belong to $\text{cone}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_5, -\omega_5)$, a contradiction.

We have proved that, if l is different from four, then there is at most one complete symmetric variety X with the requested properties and it is such that: i) $\chi_*(S) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{l-2} \mathbb{Z}\omega_i \oplus \mathbb{Z}(\omega_{l-1} + \omega_l) \oplus \mathbb{Z}2\omega_l$; ii) the corresponding colored fan is formed by $(\text{cone}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{l-1}, -\omega_1), \{D_{\alpha_1^\vee}, \dots, D_{\alpha_{l-1}^\vee}\})$, by $(\text{cone}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{l-2}, \alpha_l, -\omega_1), \{D_{\alpha_1^\vee}, \dots, D_{\alpha_{l-2}^\vee}, D_{\alpha_l^\vee}\})$ and by their colored faces. We have to show that these combinatorial data define a variety and that this variety is complete. To verify that these colored cones define a colored fan it is sufficient to prove that the intersection of $\text{cone}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{l-1}, -\omega_1)$ with $\text{cone}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{l-2}, \alpha_l, -\omega_1)$ is $\text{cone}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{l-2}, -\omega_1)$. Let $\sum_{i=1}^{l-2} a_i\alpha_i + b\alpha_l - c\omega_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{l-2} (a_i - 2b)\alpha_i - b\alpha_{l-1} + (-c + 2b)\omega_1$ be a vector in the intersection, then b is equal to zero. The variety X is complete because $\text{cone}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{l-1}, -\omega_1) \cap -C^+ = \text{cone}(-\omega_1, \dots, -\omega_{l-2}, -\omega_{l-1} - \omega_l, -\omega_l)$ and $\text{cone}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{l-2}, \alpha_l, -\omega_1) \cap -C^+ = \text{cone}(-\omega_1, \dots, -\omega_{l-2}, -\omega_{l-1} - \omega_l, -\omega_{l-1})$. If l is equal to four, we can proceed in an analogous way.

If $R_{G,\theta}$ has type E_l , we number the simple roots so that $\alpha_1, \hat{\alpha}_2, \alpha_3, \dots, \alpha_l$ generated a root system of type A_{l-1} ; moreover we choose the inner product so that $\alpha_i = \alpha_i^\vee$ for each i . Thus $\rho(D(\tilde{X}))$ has to be $\{\alpha_1, \hat{\alpha}_2, \alpha_3, \dots, \alpha_l\}$ and $\tilde{X}$ must be complete.

ix) Suppose that $R_{G,\theta}$ has type E_6 . Up to an automorphism of the Dynkin diagram, we can suppose $(\alpha_i, v) = -\delta_{i,6}$ for each i different from two. Thus $v = \sum a_i\alpha_i = -a\omega_2 - \omega_6$, where a is a positive integer. We compare the coordinates of v in the bases of the simple restricted roots, respectively of the fundamental spherical weights, obtaining $a_2 = -2a - 1$. On the other hand, α_2 belongs to the lattice generated by $\alpha_1, \alpha_3, \dots, \alpha_6, v$, thus $(a_2)^{-1}$ is an integer; hence v has to be $-\omega_6$. The weight $-3\omega_1$ is equal to $-3\omega_6 - 2\alpha_1 - \alpha_3 + \alpha_5 + 2\alpha_6$, so it does not belong to $C(\tilde{X})$. Thus $\tilde{X}$ is not complete, a contradiction.

x) Suppose that $R_{G,\theta}$ has type E_7 and write $v = \sum a_i\alpha_i$. The coefficients a_i belong to $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ because v is a weight. Moreover $(a_2)^{-1}$ is an integer, because α_2 belongs to the lattice generated by $\alpha_1, \alpha_3, \dots, \alpha_7, v$, thus a_2 is equal either to ± 1 or to $\pm \frac{1}{2}$. First suppose that $(\alpha_i, v) = -\delta_{i,1}$ for each i different from

two, so $v = -\omega_1 - a\omega_2$ for an appropriate positive integer a . We compare the coordinates of v in the bases of the simple restricted roots, respectively of the fundamental spherical weights, obtaining $a = \frac{2}{7}(-a_2 - 2)$; thus a is not integer, a contradiction. Finally, suppose that $(\alpha_7, v) = -1$. We have only to study the basis $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_7\}$, where $\lambda_1 = \omega_1 - \frac{a_1}{a_2}\omega_2$, $\lambda_i = \omega_{i+1} - \frac{a_{i+1}}{a_2}\omega_2$ for $1 < i < 7$ and $\lambda_7 = \frac{1}{a_2}\omega_2$. Comparing the second coordinate of $\frac{1}{7}(7\lambda_1 - \lambda_7)$ (with respect to the basis $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_7\}$) with the one of the first fundamental weight of $R_{L,\theta}$, we obtain $7a_1 = 4a_2 - 1$. Thus $2(4a_2 - 1)$ is integral multiple of 7, a contradiction.

xi) Suppose that $R_{G,\theta}$ has type E_8 and write $v = \sum a_i \alpha_i$. Notice that the root lattice of $R_{G,\theta}$ is equal to the weight lattice. The integer a_2 is ± 1 because $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_3, \dots, \alpha_8, v\}$ is a basis of $\chi_*(S)$. First, suppose that $v = -\omega_1 - a\omega_2$ for an appropriate positive integer a . We compare the coordinates of v in the two bases, respectively of simple restricted roots and fundamental spherical weights, obtaining $a = \frac{1}{8}(-a_2 - 5)$; so a is not integer, a contradiction. Finally, suppose that $(\alpha_8, v) = -1$. We have only to study the indexed basis $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_8\}$ with $\lambda_1 = \omega_1 - \frac{a_1}{a_2}\omega_2$, $\lambda_i = \omega_{i+1} - \frac{a_{i+1}}{a_2}\omega_2$ for $1 < i < 8$ and $\lambda_8 = \frac{1}{a_2}\omega_2$. Comparing the second coordinate of $\frac{1}{8}(8\lambda_1 - \lambda_8)$ (with respect to the basis $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_8\}$) with the one of the first fundamental weight of $R_{L,\theta}$, we obtain $8a_1 = 5a_2 - 1$. On the other hand, $5a_2 - 1$ cannot be a integral multiple of 8, a contradiction.

xii) If $R_{G,\theta}$ has type F_4 , then $R_{L,\theta}$ cannot have type A_3 and there are no simple smooth varieties with Picard number one.

xiii) Suppose that $R_{G,\theta}$ has type G_2 (and assume α_1 short). Write $v = -a\omega_1^\vee - b\omega_2^\vee$ and observe that the weight lattice coincides with the root lattice. First, suppose that $D(X)$ contains $D_{\alpha_1^\vee}$, hence X contains a simple smooth subvariety $\tilde{X}$ with $C(\tilde{X}) = \text{cone}(\alpha_1^\vee, v)$. Let $\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2\}$ be the dual basis of $\{\alpha_1^\vee, v\}$. We have $\lambda_1 = -\frac{1}{3}\alpha_2 + \frac{b}{9a+6b}(3\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2)$ and $\lambda_2 = -\frac{1}{3a+2b}(3\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2)$. Thus $3a + 2b$ must divide 2 and 3, so it must be 1, a contradiction. Thus X is simple and $C(X) = \text{cone}(\alpha_2^\vee, -\omega_2^\vee)$. The dual basis of $\{\alpha_2^\vee, -\omega_2^\vee\}$ is $\{-\alpha_1, -\alpha_2 - 2\alpha_1\}$. Moreover $\frac{1}{2}(2\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) = \frac{1}{2}\alpha_2$ is the fundamental weight of $R_{L,\theta}$. Thus the variety associated to $(\text{cone}(\alpha_2^\vee, -\omega_2^\vee), D_{\alpha_2^\vee})$ is smooth.

Lemma 3.5 *Every smooth completion X of G/H with Picard number one is projective.*

Proof. It is sufficient to consider the varieties which are not simple. There are exactly two maximal colored cones, say (ς_1, I_1) and (ς_2, I_2) ; moreover there are exactly two colors, say $D_1 \in I_1$ and $D_2 \in I_2$, which do not belong to $I_1 \cap I_2$. We claim that $D_1 + D_2$ is an ample divisor. Indeed, let φ be the function over $\varsigma_1 \cup \varsigma_2$ corresponding to $D_1 + D_2$ (see Theorem 3.1 in [1]) and let l_i be the linear function which coincides with φ over ς_i . The cone ς_i is generated by $\rho(D_i)$ and $\varsigma_1 \cap \varsigma_2$; moreover $\rho(D_1)$ and $\rho(D_2)$ are permuted by the reflection with respect to the hyperplane generated by $\varsigma_1 \cap \varsigma_2$. Thus $l_1(\rho(D_2)) = -1 < 1 = \varphi(\rho(D_2))$ and $l_2(\rho(D_1)) = -1 < 1 = \varphi(\rho(D_1))$, because $\text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}(\varsigma_1 \cap \varsigma_2)$ is the kernel of both l_1 and l_2 . Hence φ is strictly convex over the colored fan of X . Moreover $D(X) = D(G/H)$, therefore $D_1 + D_2$ is ample by Theorem 3.3 in [1]. $\square$

We have proved the following theorem:

Theorem 3.1 *Let G be a semisimple simply connected group and let G/H be a homogeneous symmetric variety. Suppose that there is a smooth, complete embedding X of G/H with Picard number one. Then:*

- Given G/H , there is, up to equivariant isomorphism, at most one embedding with the previous properties.
- The symmetric variety X is projective.
- The number of colors of G/H is equal to the rank l of G/H ; in particular there are no exceptional roots. If, in addition, l is at least three, then G/H is not Hermitian.
- The restricted root system $R_{G,\theta}$ is irreducible or has type $A_1 \times A_1$.
- We have two possibilities:
 - (i) X is simple and $D(X)$ has cardinality $l - 1$.
 - (ii) X contains two closed orbits, $D(X)$ is equal to $D(G/H)$ and H has index two or three in $N_G(G^\theta)$.

In particular, X is simple if $H = N_G(G^\theta)$.

- We have the following classification depending on the type of the restricted root system $R_{G,\theta}$:
 - (i) If $R_{G,\theta}$ has type $A_1 \times A_1$, then $\chi(S)$ has basis $\{2\omega_1, \omega_1 + \omega_2\}$; in particular H has index two in $N_G(G^\theta)$. Moreover, X has two closed orbits; the maximal colored cones of the colored fan of X are $(\text{cone}(\alpha_1^\vee, -\omega_1^\vee - \omega_2^\vee), \{D_{\alpha_1^\vee}\})$ and $(\text{cone}(\alpha_2^\vee, -\omega_1^\vee - \omega_2^\vee), \{D_{\alpha_2^\vee}\})$.
 - (ii) If $l = 1$, then G/H can be isomorphic neither to $SL_{n+1}/S(L_1 \times L_n)$, nor to SL_2/SO_2 . With such hypothesis, G/H has a unique non trivial embedding which is simple, projective, smooth and with Picard number 1.
 - (iii) If $R_{G,\theta}$ has type A_l with $l > 1$, we have the following possibilities:
 - (a) $H = N_G(G^\theta)$ and X is simple. In this case X is associated either to the colored cone $(\text{cone}(\alpha_1^\vee, \dots, \alpha_{l-1}^\vee, -\omega_1^\vee), \{D_{\alpha_1^\vee}, \dots, D_{\alpha_{l-1}^\vee}\})$ or to the one $(\text{cone}(\alpha_2^\vee, \dots, \alpha_l^\vee, -\omega_l^\vee), \{D_{\alpha_2^\vee}, \dots, D_{\alpha_l^\vee}\})$;
 - (b) $H = G^\theta$ and $l = 2$. In this case X has two closed orbits. The maximal colored cones of the colored fan of X are $(\text{cone}(\alpha_1^\vee, -\omega_1^\vee - \omega_2^\vee), \{D_{\alpha_1^\vee}\})$ and $(\text{cone}(\alpha_2^\vee, -\omega_1^\vee - \omega_2^\vee), \{D_{\alpha_2^\vee}\})$.
 - (iv) If $R_{G,\theta}$ has type B_2 , then X is simple and we have the following possibilities:
 - (a) $H = N_G(G^\theta)$ and X is associated to the colored cone $(\text{cone}(\alpha_1^\vee, -\omega_1^\vee), \{D_{\alpha_1^\vee}\})$;
 - (b) $H = G^\theta$ and X is associated to the colored cone $(\text{cone}(\alpha_2^\vee, -\omega_2^\vee), \{D_{\alpha_2^\vee}\})$. Moreover G/H cannot be Hermitian.
 - (v) If $R_{G,\theta}$ has type B_l with $l > 2$, then $H = N_G(G^\theta)$, X is simple and is associated to the colored cone $(\text{cone}(\alpha_1^\vee, \dots, \alpha_{l-1}^\vee, -\omega_1^\vee), \{D_{\alpha_1^\vee}, \dots, D_{\alpha_{l-1}^\vee}\})$.
 - (vi) If $R_{G,\theta}$ has type C_l , then $H = G^\theta$, X is simple and corresponds to the colored cone $(\text{cone}(\alpha_1^\vee, \dots, \alpha_{l-1}^\vee, -\omega_1^\vee), \{D_{\alpha_1^\vee}, \dots, D_{\alpha_{l-1}^\vee}\})$. Moreover G/H cannot be Hermitian.

- (vii) If $R_{G,\theta}$ has type BC_l with $l > 1$, then $H = N_G(G^\theta) = G^\theta$, X is simple and corresponds to the colored cone $(\text{cone}(\alpha_1^\vee, \dots, \alpha_{l-1}^\vee, -\omega_1^\vee), \{D_{\alpha_1^\vee}, \dots, D_{\alpha_{l-1}^\vee}\})$.
- (viii) If $R_{G,\theta}$ has type D_l with $l > 4$, then $\chi_*(S)$ is freely generated by $\omega_1^\vee, \dots, \omega_{l-2}^\vee, \omega_{l-1}^\vee + \omega_l^\vee, 2\omega_l^\vee$; in particular H has index two in $N_G(G^\theta)$. X has two closed orbits; the maximal colored cones of the colored fan of X are $(\text{cone}(\alpha_1^\vee, \dots, \alpha_{l-1}^\vee, -\omega_1^\vee), \{D_{\alpha_1^\vee}, \dots, D_{\alpha_{l-1}^\vee}\})$ and $(\text{cone}(\alpha_1^\vee, \dots, \alpha_{l-2}^\vee, \alpha_l^\vee, -\omega_1^\vee), \{D_{\alpha_1^\vee}, \dots, D_{\alpha_{l-2}^\vee}, D_{\alpha_l^\vee}\})$.
- (ix) If $R_{G,\theta}$ has type D_4 , then H has index two in $N_G(G^\theta)$ and X has two closed orbits. If $\chi_*(S) = \mathbb{Z}\omega_i^\vee \oplus \mathbb{Z}\omega_2^\vee \oplus \mathbb{Z}(\omega_j^\vee + \omega_k^\vee) \oplus \mathbb{Z}2\omega_k^\vee$, then the maximal colored cones of the colored fan of X are $(\text{cone}(\alpha_i^\vee, \alpha_2^\vee, \alpha_j^\vee, -\omega_i^\vee), \{D_{\alpha_i^\vee}, D_{\alpha_2^\vee}, D_{\alpha_j^\vee}\})$ and $(\text{cone}(\alpha_i^\vee, \alpha_2^\vee, \alpha_k^\vee, -\omega_i^\vee), \{D_{\alpha_i^\vee}, D_{\alpha_2^\vee}, D_{\alpha_k^\vee}\})$.
- (x) The type of $R_{G,\theta}$ cannot be E_6 , E_7 , E_8 or F_4 .
- (xi) If $R_{G,\theta}$ has type G_2 then $H = N_G(G^\theta) = G^\theta$, X is simple and is associated to the colored cone $(\text{cone}(\alpha_2^\vee, -\omega_2^\vee), \{D_{\alpha_2^\vee}\})$.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank M. Brion for many very helpful suggestions. I would also thank the referee because his accurate reports are been very useful to me.

References

- [1] M. BRION, Groupe de Picard et nombres caractéristiques des variétés sphériques, *Duke Math. J.* **58**, (1989), no. 2, 397-424.
- [2] M. BRION, Sur la géométrie des variétés sphériques, *Comm. Math. Helv.*, **66**, (1991), no. 2, 237-262.
- [3] M. BRION, Variétés sphériques, Notes de cours, 1997.
- [4] R. CHIRIVÌ and A. MAFFEI, The ring of sections of a complete symmetric variety, *J. Algebra*, **261**, (2003), no. 2, 310-326.
- [5] C. De CONCINI and C. PROCESI, Complete symmetric varieties, in Invariant Theory (Montecatini 1982), *Lecture Notes in Math*, **996**, 1-44, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983.
- [6] C. De CONCINI and T. A. SPRINGER, Compactification of symmetric varieties. Dedicated to the memory of Claude Chevalley, *Transform. Groups*, **4** (1999), no. 2-3, 273-300.
- [7] W. FULTON, J. HARRIS, Representation theory. A first course, *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, **129**, Springer-Verlag (1991).
- [8] J. E. HUMPHREYS, Introduction to Lie algebras and representation theory, *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, **9**, Springer-Verlag (1972).
- [9] J. M. LANDSBERG and L. MANIVEL, The projective geometry of Freudenthal's magic square, *Journal of Algebra*, **239** (2001), no. 2, 477-512.

- [10] D. LUNA, Slices étales, *Mém. Soc. Math. Fr. (N.S.)*, **33** (1973), 81-105.
- [11] B. PASQUIER, Smooth projective horospherical varieties with Picard number 1, arXiv:math.AG/0703576v1.
- [12] L. E. RENNER, Classification of semisimple algebraic monoids, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **292** (1985), no. 1, 193-223.
- [13] A. RUZZI, Projectively normal complete symmetric varieties and Fano complete symmetric varieties, Phd. Thesis, Università La Sapienza, Roma, Italia, 2006, <http://www.mat.uniroma1.it/dottorato.html>
- [14] R. STEINBERG, Endomorphisms of linear algebraic groups, *Memories of the AMS*, **80** (1980).
- [15] D. A. TIMASHEV, Equivariant compactifications of reductive groups, *Sbornik. Mathematics*, **194** (2003), no. 3-4, 589-616.
- [16] D. A. TIMASHEV, Homogeneous spaces and equivariant embeddings, preprint, arXiv: math.AG/0602228.
- [17] T. VUST, Plongements d'espaces symétriques algébriques: une classification, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4)*, **17**, (1990), n. 2, 165-195.